Welcome to the Age of the Art Industry
(The Art World Is Over)

Why Auction Guarantees Have Lost Their Luster

Forward-Thinking Collectors on What They Buy & Why

What You Need to Know About the African Contemporary Art Market
When artnet launched the artnet Magazine in 1995, it was only the second online publication in the world (after Slate, which was later bought by Microsoft). Our company had just become one of the internet’s first commercial users—but it had already defined the products and services that we more or less continue to offer today, all of which seek to level the playing field for galleries and collectors.

In the ensuing decades, the art world has transformed, with a ballooning number of artists and a market that has become truly global. Meanwhile, the tenets on which artnet was founded—to offer guidance and transparency—are needed more than ever. It is no wonder that artnet’s resolve to consider art quantitatively as well as qualitatively, which 30 years ago was considered unacceptable, is no longer controversial at all.

Over time, the art market has come to understand that the artnet Price Database benefits everyone, because a market can truly only grow if there is enough credible information easily available to measure its performance. And grow it has: Total worldwide auction sales in 2018 were $19.4 billion, compared to $3.3 billion in 1989, an increase of almost 500 percent. The total size of the art market, including gallery and online sales, is estimated to be worth over $60 billion today.

The art market is far from perfect. Why are certain artists who make exceptional work not showing up meaningfully in these statistics? Has the money that has been flowing into the market so confused us that we now see price as the main determinant of quality? Or are we observing just a temporary splitting of the field into various segments that need to be considered and evaluated separately? For the moment, the relentless attention the mainstream press pays to high prices has given the financial side of art collecting the lead in our collective understanding of events. But that can change. If we have learned anything over the past 30 years, it is to expect surprises.

Sincerely,

Jacob Pabst, CEO
As you might glean from the cover, this report advances a thesis that over the past 30 years, the art world has evolved into an art industry. Once the modestly sized province of connoisseurs, passion-driven dealers, and hobbyist collectors, the art business today exists as an interconnected global network dominated by multi-billion-dollar corporations and swashbuckling, profit-minded investors who care about numbers as much, if not more, than they care about the art itself.

From one point of view, this market is a strange, chimerical amalgam of the luxury industry, the financial sector, and a high-class casino; seen another way, it is a burgeoning engine of creativity and innovation that could yield a new Renaissance in art. As with art itself, the art market’s nature is in the eye of the beholder. In this issue, we speak to a trio of collectors who touch on how the business side of things has changed over the past three decades—for better and for worse.

We also dive deep into reams of data, which show that the market is now slowing, with key indices coming down from their recent peaks. This report outlines those areas of retrenchment in the marketplace and identifies where the alarm bells are ringing. It also shows where opportunities are developing, both through the data and via an in-depth survey of the increasingly exciting market for African contemporary art. As the current reshuffling continues, it is likely that the winners and losers will be spread unevenly around the globe, in ways that mirror broader macroeconomic trends.

Because this fall is artnet’s 30th anniversary, our report also takes a look at how the company’s pioneering Price Database shaped the art field and helped accelerate its headlong growth. We spoke to Hans Neuendorf, the founder of artnet, about the obstacles he and the company overcame as it became the first-ever art business to go online—and about his ambivalence regarding the impact that access to transparent pricing has had on the field he entered as an idealistic art dealer in the 1960s.

Today, 30 years into the history of artnet, and now in the midst of what looks like the beginnings of a market correction, it is clear that we remain in the infancy of the art industry—“with all the positive and negative connotations that word, which conjures a smoke-belching factory, conveys. Where will things go? See you in 30 years.”

Andrew Goldstein & Julia Halperin
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The Air Is Getting Thin at the Top

Anyone who has attended a marquee art auction in New York in recent years knows that few people in attendance bother to look up from their phones until a work passes the $10 million mark. But such high prices may not be routine for much longer. After years of growth, the uppermost echelon of the market now appears to be stalling.

Total auction sales of works over $10 million shrunk by 35 percent in the first six months of this year compared to the first half of 2018. The falloff was steepest for the Impressionist and Modern category, where sales in the uppermost bracket plummeted by 40 percent. In the postwar and contemporary sector, they fell 20 percent.

Some suggest this drop might simply represent a return to business as usual after a remarkably strong first half of 2018, when Christie’s sale of the Rockefeller estate generated more than $800 million alone, and when wealthy Americans were riding high on news of the passage of the Trump Administration’s tax cuts.

But the fact remains that in the first half of 2019, the $10 million-and-up segment dropped to its lowest point since the market’s last dip in 2016.

“There is a disproportionate amount of caution that is affecting the high end of the market,” says Benjamin Mandel, a global strategist at J.P. Morgan Asset Management.

The biggest takeaways from the market’s performance so far this year—and insider tips on how to get ahead

In the global auction market, Britain’s loss may be France’s gain. The uncertainty created by Brexit pushed the U.K. market to its lowest level in more than six years. By contrast, France’s auction sales grew 13 percent in the first half of 2019 after three straight years of contraction. The French auction market remains much smaller than the U.K.’s, with $346.5 million in sales compared with $1.4 billion in Britain. But experts suspect that the U.K.’s market share will tumble even further as a no-deal Brexit looms. “That downward trend is here to stay,” says Michael Plummer of Artvest. Moving forward, consignors who would have sold in London will be more likely to sell privately or send smaller-ticket items to auction in France and pricey lots to New York.

The current trend hints that the global economy is tracking the ups and downs of the global economy. The total amount of money spent on fine art, decorative art, and design in the first six months of 2019—$9.1 billion—down 13.5 percent from the equivalent period one year ago. “There’s a lack of robustness in the market,” says Michael Plummer, the cofounder of investment firm Artvest.

In a shift few could have seen coming a year ago, the 45-year-old Brooklyn-based artist KAWS has outsold Jean-Michel Basquiat, the far more established graffiti artist turned art-market phenomenon, for the first time. A hefty 480 KAWS works hit the market in the first six months of 2019, compared with just 57 works by Basquiat. That means the average Basquiat work remains far more expensive than the average KAWS. But the fact remains that buyers shelled out around $5.1 billion for work by the artist born Brian Donnelly than they did for work by Basquiat. And that is something.

In the global auction market, Britain’s loss may be France’s gain. The uncertainty created by Brexit pushed the U.K. market to its lowest level in more than six years. By contrast, France’s auction sales grew 13 percent in the first half of 2019 after three straight years of contraction. The French auction market remains much smaller than the U.K.’s, with $346.5 million in sales compared with $1.4 billion in Britain. But experts suspect that the U.K.’s market share will tumble even further as a no-deal Brexit looms. “That downward trend is here to stay,” says Michael Plummer of Artvest. Moving forward, consignors who would have sold in London will be more likely to sell privately or send smaller-ticket items to auction in France and pricey lots to New York.

-35%

The decline in the average price of a work of Modern and Impressionist art sold at auction in the first half of 2019 compared with the equivalent period last year—a larger drop than for any other genre.

18%

The amount total auction sales in the United States plunged in the first half of 2019 compared with the first six months of 2018. Still, the country remains the world’s biggest market, with $3.2 billion worth of art sold during the period.

Growth Rates for Total Auction Sales in the First Half of 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Growth Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>-5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.K.</td>
<td>-24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>-18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>-11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>-20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the global auction market, Britain’s loss may be France’s gain. The uncertainty created by Brexit pushed the U.K. market to its lowest level in more than six years. By contrast, France’s auction sales grew 13 percent in the first half of 2019 after three straight years of contraction. The French auction market remains much smaller than the U.K.’s, with $346.5 million in sales compared with $1.4 billion in Britain. But experts suspect that the U.K.’s market share will tumble even further as a no-deal Brexit looms. “That downward trend is here to stay,” says Michael Plummer of Artvest. Moving forward, consignors who would have sold in London will be more likely to sell privately or send smaller-ticket items to auction in France and pricey lots to New York.

The biggest takeaways from the market’s performance so far this year—and insider tips on how to get ahead

The biggest takeaways from the market’s performance so far this year—and insider tips on how to get ahead
What I’m Buying & Why

Top collectors share their strategies (and obsessions)

by Tim Schneider

Dean Valentine
Former TV executive and cofounder
Felix Art Fair
Los Angeles

What was your first acquisition? A piece by a Russian émigré artist, Yuri Kuper, that looked as if Cy Twombly found a blackboard in the trash and decided to scrawl on it. It was about $6,500, which seemed like an absolutely ridiculous amount of money at the time.

What was your most recent acquisition? A painting by a young African American artist named Brandon Landers. It’s a tribute to [murdered Compton rapper and entrepreneur] Nipsey Hussle. I’m not necessarily a Nipsey Hussle fan, but I am a Brandon Landers fan.

Where do you buy art most frequently? In Los Angeles. It’s hard to think of contemporary art in the past 20 to 30 years without putting L.A. at the forefront. I feel very lucky that I’ve been here during all this.

What work do you wish you had bought when you had the chance? A Warhol Marilyn tondo painting. I was just a medium-level employee at a [Hollywood] studio, and I couldn’t afford it.

What is the most impractical work of art you own? A four-walled room—seven feet high, five feet wide, and eight feet long—by German artist Gregor Schneider. It’s bigger than my bathroom.

What work do you wish you had bought when you had the chance? A Warhol Marilyn tondo painting. I was just a medium-level employee at a [Hollywood] studio, and I couldn’t afford it.

If you could steal one work of art without getting caught, what would it be? The Raft of the Medusa by Géricault.
What was your first acquisition?
A Wang Guangyi sculpture at Christie’s in 2008—way before all the big international dealers came to town.

What was your most recent acquisition?
Two small works on paper by Samson Young, a Hong Kong-based artist who focuses on sound. I don’t have a museum, so it’s a little difficult to buy his installations. He finally made some work that, size-wise, was manageable for a domestic situation.

What are you hoping to add to your collection this year?
Maybe Cecily Brown, maybe Ed Ruscha. I went to see the Sanya Kantarovsky show at Luhring Augustine in New York, which was really nice. So maybe him.

What is the most expensive work of art that you own?
A Sterling Ruby collage-on-canvas work from his “BC” series from 2012. It was maybe a quarter million U.S. dollars.

Where do you buy art most frequently?
Art fairs. You can do it any day of the year at a gallery, but fairs do guarantee a high level of quality. Dealers who go to Art Basel in Basel will always bring their “A” game.

What work do you have hanging above your sofa?
A Tomoo Gokita canvas work, the two Samson Young works I recently bought, and also a collage by Shinro Ohtake, a Japanese contemporary artist in his 60s.

What is the most impractical work of art you own? What makes it so challenging?
Nadim Abbas’s Human Rhinovirus 14. It consists of several industrial fans that blow beach balls into the air to interact with a video projection of enlarged virus imagery. We showed it in London briefly before we opened a restaurant, but now it’s in storage.

What work do you wish you had bought when you had the chance?
I probably had opportunities to acquire very high-quality Gutai works a few years ago, and I just wasn’t paying enough attention.

If you could steal one work of art without getting caught, what would it be?
The On Kawara room at Dia:Beacon.

What work do you have hanging above your sofa?
A Tomoo Gokita canvas work, the two Samson Young works I recently bought, and also a collage by Shinro Ohtake, a Japanese contemporary artist in his 60s.

What is the most impractical work of art you own? What makes it so challenging?
Nadim Abbas’s Human Rhinovirus 14. It consists of several industrial fans that blow beach balls into the air to interact with a video projection of enlarged virus imagery. We showed it in London briefly before we opened a restaurant, but now it’s in storage.

What work do you wish you had bought when you had the chance?
I probably had opportunities to acquire very high-quality Gutai works a few years ago, and I just wasn’t paying enough attention.

If you could steal one work of art without getting caught, what would it be?
The On Kawara room at Dia:Beacon.

What is the most impractical work of art you own? What makes it so challenging?
Nadim Abbas’s Human Rhinovirus 14. It consists of several industrial fans that blow beach balls into the air to interact with a video projection of enlarged virus imagery. We showed it in London briefly before we opened a restaurant, but now it’s in storage.

What work do you wish you had bought when you had the chance?
I probably had opportunities to acquire very high-quality Gutai works a few years ago, and I just wasn’t paying enough attention.

If you could steal one work of art without getting caught, what would it be?
The On Kawara room at Dia:Beacon.
Mihail Lari
Chair, board of overseers
Hammer Museum
Los Angeles

What was the first acquisition you and your husband, Scott Murray, made?
Our first serious acquisition was Byron Kim’s Permanent Painting (2004). We paid $21,700 for it in 2004.

What was your most recent acquisition?
Glenn Ligon’s Untitled (America) (2018), which is the newest version of his iconic America, in red neon. As the world is changing drastically, and even regressing, we are increasingly drawn to works that are more political.

What are you hoping to add to your collection this year?
Louise Lawler and Pae White, as well as additional works by Analia Saban, Erin Shirreff, Liz Deschenes, and eight more panels for our version of Byron Kim’s Synecdoche (1991).

What is the most expensive work of art that you own?
Ligon’s Untitled (America).

Where do you buy art most frequently?
Primarily from L.A. or New York galleries, typically after seeing a show.

What work do you have hanging above your sofa?
Wolfgang Tillmans’s Greifbar 41 (2015), which is a unique, and especially great, large abstract photograph from his “Freischwimmer/ Greifbar” series.

Do you have any artwork in your bathroom?
In one powder room, we have EJ Hill and Texas Isaiah’s Victory Laps (Marie Fegan Preschool) (2018), a photograph that was part of EJ Hill’s performance at the Hammer Museum’s biennial “Made in L.A.” in 2017. In the second powder room, we have Willem de Kooning’s Landing Place (1970–71). It is one of the handful of works we bought because of who it was by rather than because it was great and fit our collection.

Is there a work you regret purchasing? If so, why?
Willem de Kooning’s Landing Place (1970–71). It is one of the handful of works we bought because of who it was by rather than because it was great and fit our collection.

What is the most impractical work of art you own? What makes it so challenging?
Lisa Oppenheim’s Smoke (2013), a two-channel installation that was originally shown on two 50-foot-wide screens at MASS MoCA.

What work do you wish you had bought when you had the chance?
Larry Bell’s earlier glass cubes.

If you could steal one work of art without getting caught, what would it be?
One of Ad Reinhardt’s black, 60-inch-square abstract paintings from the 1960s.

What is the most impractical work of art you own? What makes it so challenging?
Lisa Oppenheim’s Smoke (2013), a two-channel installation that was originally shown on two 50-foot-wide screens at MASS MoCA.

What work do you wish you had bought when you had the chance?
Larry Bell’s earlier glass cubes.

If you could steal one work of art without getting caught, what would it be?
One of Ad Reinhardt’s black, 60-inch-square abstract paintings from the 1960s.
What was the first acquisition you and your husband, Oliver Frankel, made?
A number of works at Art Basel: Hugo McCloud, Arjan Martins, and Richard Aldrich. We were very excited about a Pipilotti Rist video work. It does not require a video room or a private museum to display. It's self-contained in a sculptural screen, and a 30-minute video loop plays behind the sculpture. Very cool.

What is the most expensive work of art you own?
I’d prefer not to answer that.

Where do you buy art most frequently?
When we first started, we bought almost all our work at fairs. While we still buy at art fairs, now we’re buying more from gallery shows.

What work do you have hanging above your sofa?
Our sofa is freestanding, but currently on the main wall of our living room, we are living with an amazing Dana Schutz painting.

Do you have any artwork in your bathroom?
We have a work by Carol Bennett that we bought very early on. It’s hanging across from the mirrors, and I enjoy looking at it when I’m getting dressed.

What is the most impractical work of art you own?
A Kaari Upson three-door installation piece… We were told when we bought it that, in one’s home, the work could be displayed in a smaller grouping. However, if you loan it for public viewing, the artist wants all three doors shown together. We live in an apartment, so installing something that requires that amount of space can really impact a room. We are going to try to put up the entire installation this fall.

Which artists do you wish you had collected when you had the chance?
John Currin and Derrick Adams.

If you could steal one work of art without getting caught, what would it be?
A big Nicole Eisenman painting. We have Nicole in the collection, but we only have small works. I lust after a substantial painting.
This list—which covers artists born after 1974—suggests a division in the ultra-contemporary market. One segment is characterized by speculators looking to make a quick dollar off relatively untested artists. Some would say that the Chinese artist Hao Liang, who had his first solo New York gallery show just last year (at Gagosian), and Romanian artist Adrian Ghenie are among those artists.

But this list also includes artists who built a solid foundation before having their prices boosted to the next level. Experts say the strong performance of works by Dana Schutz and Jonas Wood, for example, is well-earned.

Regardless of which segment of the market you look at, one thing is for sure: figurative painting is now the dominant trend. None of the works that cracked the top 10 this season were abstract, a style that not so long ago reigned supreme.
“An iconic work that’s larger than life,” is how the art advisor Wendy Cromwell describes Jeff Koons’s *Rabbit.* “It’s worth whatever someone is going to pay for it.”

This past May, that someone—believed to be hedge funder Steve Cohen—was willing to pay $91.1 million. The sculpture, consigned by the estate of the late magazine publisher S.I. Newhouse Jr., set a record for a work by a living artist at auction, beating the $90.3 million paid for David Hockney’s *Portrait of an Artist (Pool With Two Figures)* last year.

The spring season also saw some newly anointed market darlings. “Collectors’ taste is changing in the contemporary market,” says Suzanne Gyorgy, Citi Private Bank’s global head of art advisory and finance. Big spenders are more open to work by women and artists of color, she notes, as well as artists who have near-celebrity public appeal but have been regarded with cynicism by the art world—like KAWS.

**Marketplace The Best-Seller Lists**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artist</th>
<th>Life</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>SalePrice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Koons</td>
<td>b. 1955</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>$91,075,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAWS</td>
<td>b. 1974</td>
<td>The KAWS Album</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$14,773,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Wool</td>
<td>b. 1955</td>
<td>Untitled</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>$14,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean-Michel Basquiat</td>
<td>1960–1988</td>
<td>Sabado por la Noche (Saturday Night)</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>$10,656,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Bradford</td>
<td>b. 1961</td>
<td>Helter Skelter II</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$8,475,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Oehlen</td>
<td>b. 1954</td>
<td>Selbstportrait mit Leeren Händen</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>$7,907,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerry James Marshall</td>
<td>b. 1955</td>
<td>Untitled (Painter)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$7,325,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Jeff Koons Rabbit**

According to multiple sources, hedge-fund billionaire Steve Cohen beat out underbidder Mitchell Rales, the industrialist behind Maryland’s Glenstone museum, to take home this pricey bunny.

**KAWS The KAWS Album**

If you thought KAWS was just a passing trend, think again. His satirical twist on the album cover with the Simpsons aping Sgt. Pepper’s Lonely Hearts Club Band brought nearly 15 times its high estimate, and more than quintupled his previous auction record of $2.7 million. It was sold as part of the personal collection of Japanese streetwear entrepreneur Tomoaki Nagao, better known as NIGO.

**Mark Bradford Helter Skelter II**

Bidding was thin for this picture, which sold to its guarantor for a hammer price just shy of its low estimate. “A lot of people who wanted to make a big splash on Bradford have done it,” notes art advisor Todd Levin. This painting was made even more challenging by its gargantuan size: 35 by 13 feet. Only a handful of people have space to accommodate such a big work—and many of them probably own a nice Bradford already.

**Jenny Saville Juncture**

Why has Saville, who initially rose to prominence in the ‘90s alongside the Young British Artists, recently become one of the most successful living female artists at auction? Collectors respond to her fleshy style of painting, which continues in the tradition of Lucian Freud. Furthermore, while most of the YBAs were sculptors, “she’s the only one who made paintings with a capital P,” Cromwell notes. This painting sold in 2009 for $665,671—meaning it generated a nearly 1,000 percent return for the consignor.
Warhol is still winning the war for market dominance: his works made a combined $150.3 million at auction in the first half of 2019, more than any other artist in the sector. But he lost the battle for the season’s top lot. That distinction goes to Robert Rauschenberg, whose *Buffalo II* shattered his previous auction record by nearly a factor of five. There are a number of reasons it performed so well: it is from a particularly desirable period, the same year the artist won the Golden Lion at the 1964 Venice Biennale; it is filled with classic American iconography, from the Coca-Cola logo to JFK; and it hadn’t been on the market for 50 years.

Among those cracking the top 10 sales in the sector were, notably, two female artists (Louise Bourgeois and Joan Mitchell), which remains a rarity.
Photographs

The top of the photography market has been soft for a couple of years—which could signal a buying opportunity for work by established names like Cindy Sherman and Andreas Gursky.

In the first half of this year, no photograph passed the $2 million mark at auction, and only three works sold for more than $1 million. “It used to be you couldn’t look at a Gursky or a [Thomas] Struth without hitting seven figures,” says the art advisor Todd Levin. “Now it has to be a great image on a good day.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artist</th>
<th>Life</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sale Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helmut Newton</td>
<td>1920–2004</td>
<td>Sie Kommen, Paris (Dressed and Naked)</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>$1,820,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Lissitzky</td>
<td>1890–1941</td>
<td>Self-Portrait (The Constructor)</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>$1,245,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilbert &amp; George</td>
<td>b. 1943 &amp; 1942</td>
<td>Bugger</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>$1,008,244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cindy Sherman</td>
<td>b. 1954</td>
<td>Untitled Film Still #21</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>$808,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Weston</td>
<td>1886–1958</td>
<td>Circus Tent</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>$788,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tina Modotti</td>
<td>1896–1942</td>
<td>Telephone Wires, Mexico</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>$652,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Weston</td>
<td>1886–1958</td>
<td>Shell (Nautilus)</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td>$677,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andreas Gursky</td>
<td>b. 1955</td>
<td>May Day V</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$643,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Struth</td>
<td>b. 1964</td>
<td>Mailänder Dom (innen), Mailand</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>$551,282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From top: Cindy Sherman, Untitled Film Still #21 (1978); Helmut Newton, Sie Kommen, Paris (Dressed and Naked) (1981).
Impressionist & Modern

Picasso has long dominated the Impressionist and Modern market, generating more money at auction than any other artist in the sector. But Monet is nipping at his heels this season, thanks in large part to *Meules*, a luminous “Haystack” painting that had been in the same private collection since 1986, when it made $2.5 million at Christie’s. This time around, it sold for 44 times that sum.

Sources say this work—the best example of the “Haystack” series left in private hands—was purchased by German software billionaire Hasso Plattner. The underbidder, we hear, was hedge-fund manager Kenneth Griffin.

In the Imp-Mod sector, “great things will perform exceptionally well,” while the rest remains relatively stable, according to art advisor Todd Levin. “It’s how you want a market to operate—no bubble and no retreat.”
More than any other sector, the Old Master market is driven by supply. But it has also begun to expand its stock by reevaluating previously overlooked female artists (who, conveniently enough, are less likely to have already had their best works hoovered up by museums).

This subset of the market gained publicity—and interest from a broader audience—thanks to an unlikely booster: Spice Girl turned fashion designer Victoria Beckham, who promoted 21 works by 14 female artists, including the Vigée Le Brun portrait at left, in the January Old Master sales at Sotheby’s in New York. The group of pictures realized $14.6 million, just overshooting its pre-sale high estimate of $13.2 million.

### Old Masters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artist</th>
<th>Life</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sale Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan Sanders van Hemessen</td>
<td>1560–1666</td>
<td>Double Portrait of a Husband and Wife, Half-Length, Seated at a Table, Playing Tables</td>
<td>1532</td>
<td>$10,036,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Paul Rubens</td>
<td>1577–1640</td>
<td>Nude Study of a Young Man With Raised Arms</td>
<td>1788</td>
<td>$8,202,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Élisabeth Vigée Le Brun</td>
<td>1755–1842</td>
<td>Portrait of Muhammad Dervish Khan, Full-length, Holding His Sword in a Landscape</td>
<td>1629</td>
<td>$7,185,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan van der Hamen y León</td>
<td>1596–1632</td>
<td>Peaches, Pears, Plums, Peas and Cherries in Wicker Baskets</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,577,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annibale Carracci</td>
<td>1600–1609</td>
<td>The Madonna and Child with Saint Lucy and the Young Saint John the Baptist</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,063,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joachim Anthonisz Wtewael</td>
<td>1566–1638</td>
<td>A Banquet of the Gods</td>
<td>1622–1623</td>
<td>$5,944,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan van de Cappelle</td>
<td>1624–1679</td>
<td>A Shipping Scene on a Calm Sea, With a Number of Vessels and Figures, and a Jetty on the Left</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,815,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorenzo Monaco</td>
<td>1370–1425</td>
<td>The Prophet Isaiah</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,615,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guido Reni</td>
<td>1575–1642</td>
<td>Toilette der Venus</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,536,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orazio Gentileschi</td>
<td>1562–1647</td>
<td>The Fall of the Rebel Angels</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,255,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From top: Élisabeth Louise Vigée Le Brun, Portrait of Muhammad Dervish Khan, Full-Length Holding His Sword in a Landscape (1629); Juan van der Hamen y León, Peaches, Pears, Plums, Peas and Cherries in Wicker Baskets
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**Jan Sanders van Hemessen**
Double Portrait of a Husband and Wife, Half-Length, Seated at a Table, Playing Tables
This lot came from an unlikely consignor: the artist Frank Stella, who bought it at auction in the 1980s and had it in his bedroom for more than 30 years. Looking through the auction catalogue at the time, he was mesmerized by the scene, which captures a husband and wife playing tables, a variant on backgammon. “I knew the difference between that painting and the others,” he recalled.

**Peter Paul Rubens**
Nude Study of a Young Man With Raised Arms
This work, which sold for more than twice its $3.5 million high estimate, set a record for a Rubens drawing. Since the 19th century, the work had been in the collection of the family of King William II of the Netherlands, who was criticized for not offering Dutch institutions the chance to buy it before sending it to Sotheby’s. The drawing is one of a small number of surviving studies for Rubens’s famous altarpiece, The Raising of the Cross.

**Élisabeth Vigée Le Brun**
Portrait of Muhammad Dervish Khan, Full-Length, Holding His Sword in a Landscape
This monumental portrait of an Indian ambassador to France smashed the artist’s previous $1.5 million record, set in 2017. It also established a record for any work by a female artist from before the Modern era.
The date on the New York Times in your hands is September 21, 1989. You’re on the subway home, trying to read the cover story about Mikhail Gorbachev purging the USSR’s Politburo, but Mayor Ed Koch’s plan to air-condition every train in New York hasn’t reached the one you’re on, and the heat is oppressive. Besides, you’re too anxious that the big deal you’re trying to close for your auction house may just blow up in your face.

There’s a new collector in Tokyo you need to call after tonight’s episode of Cheers ends. The fax he sent you at the end of his workday came through as nothing more than a smear of black ink, so it’s still unclear if his financials actually check out. He says he’s in real estate (naturally), and the way the Japanese market has been climbing for the past few years, there’s no telling how much he could spend in November if he’s serious. A single Van Gogh almost hit $54 million less than two years ago, and the Impressionist market has stayed so volcanic since then that you’re starting to dream the record could be broken again soon.

Problem is, you couldn’t find the collector’s card in your Rolodex today. Your assistant is driving to Florida, so you won’t be able to ask where it might have gone until she calls you from a motel room to check in tomorrow morning. Too bad those new “mobile phones” Motorola just released cost $3,000. Then again, holding a wireless electronic device up to your ear all day probably gives you cancer or psychosis, so the whole product line might be dead in a year or two.

Speaking of psychosis, you wonder if Leo Castelli is losing his mind. At lunch today, you finally stopped by 65 Thompson Street in SoHo, where a few months back he started up a partnership with that 40-something L.A. shark Larry Gagosian. As if fighting with the other auction houses didn’t make your life difficult enough, Gagosian has been running around for the past few years acting like dealers have some kind of God-given right to compete in the resale market. What does he think, auction houses are going to start putting on exhibitions and offering works by living artists? Doesn’t he understand there’s a particular way of doing things in this business? Is the whole art world as we know it breaking down?

Today’s art business looks nothing like it did 30 years ago.

How the Art World Became the Art Industry

by Tim Schneider
Fast-forward 30 years from this hypothetical day in the life and it’s clear that, in a way, the art world as it existed back then really did break down over the ensuing decades. That chummy handshake business where everybody knew your name has been replaced by a multibillion-dollar international industry.

Today, that industry still has plenty of growing up to do, but the refinement process has begun. Consider that, in 1988, the artnet Price Database tracked only 18 auction houses and about 8,300 artists. Over the next 24 years, those figures skyrocketed: By 2012, there were 632 auction houses and 90,275 artists. But, like any mature industry, it is now entering a period of consolidation after decades of expansion. Last year, artnet recorded only 534 houses (a drop of around 16 percent from the high-water mark) and 71,621 artists (down 25 percent from the peak).

Still, the scale and contours of today’s art world would have been largely inconceivable to dealers, auction-house professionals, and collectors 30 years ago. Tastes have changed; non-Western economies have emerged as essential forces; and what was once a quaint cottage industry has become surprisingly corporate.

How was this transformation possible? Because three art-world myths that the rise of the art industry shattered. Still, the scale and contours of today’s art world would have been largely inconceivable to dealers, auction-house professionals, and collectors 30 years ago. Tastes have changed; non-Western economies have emerged as essential forces; and what was once a quaint cottage industry has become surprisingly corporate.

This trend toward the new is unmistakable in the data. For example, of the 150 artists with the greatest increase in interest-s new market for contemporary art” as recently as the late 1970s
Among the biggest signs of this shift were the sales at Sotheby’s of objects and ephemera from Damien Hirst’s Pharmacy restaurant in 2004 and the 2008 “Beautiful Inside My Head Forever” auction of Hirst that, Westphal recalls, “bypassed any gallery system and did something you would call ‘direct to consumer, which was definitely not a term used in the art world at the time,” Westphal recalls.

The collapse of the distinction between auction houses and galleries occurred “step by step,” according to Ropac. He recalls Sotheby’s 1996 acquisition of André Emmerich Gallery, then primarily known for representing American color-field painters such as Morris Louis and Kenneth Noland, as an early milestone in a “slow process” through which the auction houses “tried to understand how they could get the best out of contemporary art.” Christie’s followed suit in 2007 by acquiring the international contemporary gallery Haunch of Venison, which then handled the likes of Richard Long, Bill Viola, and Rachel Whiteread.

The major houses eventually realized that running galleries that represented living artists was, in Ropac’s words, “a step too far, and stepped back” to, at most, operating exhibition spaces focused on private sales. But even this development was a meaningful one, and their consignments of contemporary works less than a decade old gradually moved from day-sale experiments to evening-sale fixtures over the past 10 years, at least in the United States.

Dealers have been equally responsible for redrawing the art market’s borders. From Lucy Mitchell-Innes and David Nash, who exited Sotheby’s in the mid-’90s, to Brett Gorvy, who departed Christie’s in 2016, major auction-house staffers have proved increasingly unafraid to pivot to the gallery sector, where new work from the studio is, in many cases, offered alongside secondary-market material premium enough to lead an evening sale.

This exploding distribution mechanism for contemporary work powered up the sector in a way that no competing category could match. It is no coincidence that the period during which the contemporary category made its greatest gain in the auction market—between 2004 (when contemporary accounted for 5.9 percent of all sales) and 2014 (14.9 percent)—maps almost exactly onto the one in which the number of worldwide art fairs ballooned (from 68 in 2005 to more than 220 by 2015).

So why is this increasing overlap between auction houses and galleries significant? Because each sector lacks what the other offers. Auction houses have corporate structures that allow them to scale up their operations on a global playing field and pursue long-term strategies despite changes in CEOs or ownership, but they lack access to fresh-to-market inventory. Galleries, on the other hand, have direct access to artistic production, but they are often passion-driven businesses operated by a charismatic founder (or founders) whose unique gifts and relationships cannot be easily transferred to successors. Combine the two, and you have something approaching the kind of vertically integrated corporate structure that has enabled companies in other industries to thrive in a global marketplace. We’re not there yet, to be sure, but this increasing hybridization shows no sign of slowing down. This spring, Gagosian announced the appointment of gallery veteran Andrew Fabricant to the newly created position of chief operating officer, the establishment of a 24-member advisory board of top salespeople, and, perhaps most notably, the creation of a new, separate business called Gagosian Art Advisory, LLC, led by Christie’s veteran Laura Paulson.

The move echoed the acquisition by Sotheby’s, three years earlier, of the art advisory firm Art Agency Partners. For both high-end galleries and auction houses, it seems, the goal is to transform into 360-degree art-service juggernauts capable of handling a client’s every need, from private buying and selling to advising and estate planning to collections management and logistics. The race is on to see who will be best able to capitalize on the opportunities created by the growing—and increasingly global—market, ultimately becoming the LVMH of art.
Myth 3: There Is No Such Thing as Global Taste

It can be easy to forget how far the art market has come in Asia, and how fast. Patti Wong says that when she was appointed chairman of Sotheby’s Hong Kong in 2004, the city was still viewed as a “regional hub” whose business relied on “Chinese collectors buying Chinese things.”

Indeed, from 1991 (when artnet’s records for Hong Kong begin) to 2004, a grand total of just 124 works by Western artists sold at auction in the city, with a combined value of roughly $12.3 million. Fast-forward to 2018, and 498 Western works collectively sold at auction in the city, with a combined value of roughly $12.1 million. Just as telling, Wong contends that a “key message of Asia,” with sales in New York, London, and other Western markets being increasingly propelled by East Asian clients.

In this respect, fine art is aligned with other 21st-century businesses. The world’s major commercial and cultural economies are now intertwined to an unprecedented degree. It seems completely intuitive, for instance, that Marvel’s Avengers: Endgame grossed $178 million at the mainland Chinese box office over Labor Day weekend, or that it is as easy to find a Chanel store in Seoul as in Paris or New York.

Yet blue-chip Western art did not become blue-chip global art until the 2010s. It isn’t just that the preceding decades saw vanishingly few works by non-Asian artists come to auction in Hong Kong. The Western artists with strong presences in East Asia during this earlier era tended to be regional sensations with little to no strength under the gavel in other art-market hubs. In fact, even though he was Belgian by birth and ethnicity, one of these early top-sellers, the Modernist painter Adrien Jean Le Mayeur de Merprès, is normally considered unthinkable 30 years ago. So the next time you hear a colleague or client gripe that the art market is doomed to keep repeating the same mistakes, feel free to remind them that an awful lot tends to change in three decades.

Le Mayeur aside, the sales rankings will look much more familiar to the average Westerner since 2010, after which point the internet, the identical international circuit traveled by socioeconomic elites, and other factors seem to have carried blue-chip artistic taste past an inflection point. The other top-sellers at Hong Kong auctions to date this decade are KAWS (nearly $37 million), Jean-Michel Basquiat (about $30 million), and Gerhard Richter (about $25 million).

East Asian collectors haven’t just become more international in an astonishingly short period of time. They have also become dramatically more discerning. Only a few years ago, Allan Schwartzman says, the predilection for “buying names rather than works” made the region’s buyers vulnerable to “various people in the market who took advantage [of them] to sell inferior works at healthy prices.” Those days are gone. According to Wong, “Asians rely on data,” typically researching not just previous sales prices but also how those prices landed relative to presale estimates so that they can make shrewd decisions.

This development has been largely driven by East Asia’s first-generation inheritors of mega-wealth. A large proportion of this demographic grew up in families that collected in more traditional categories, such as regional antiquities or Chinese ink paintings, and were educated (and culturally embedded) in the West during some of their formative years.

“It’s quite regular that we have a collector in his late 20s or early 30s buying seriously at auction—and not just one or two, but in volume,” says Westphal. “It’s a generation of collectors very used to absorbing information, very keen on finding out what is going on and what is going to be the next big thing, and driving that, as well.”

Having two generations of collectors with roots in East Asia has made a colossal difference for the Hong Kong auction market. In 1991, Hong Kong houses in the Price Database combined to sell 333 fine artworks (by both Asian and non-Asian artists) for $10.9 million. In 2018, a total of 907 works changed hands to generate almost $1.4 billion in sales, a 234-fold increase in 27 years.

Wong says that understanding the data-driven mind-set of East Asian collectors has allowed Sotheby’s to, in her words, move beyond “what people expected: the Warhol Man [Yoshitomo Nara, [Yayoi Kusama] and begin offering high-quality works by artists with solid market profiles but no sustained price presence in Asia. Last April, for example, Sotheby’s Hong Kong set new world records for both Japanese-born, New York-based painter Kie Mehnert and New York-based sensation KAWS.

This is why Wong says Asian collectors “no longer just follow the data but are part of making the data” that charts the global market’s path—a development many would have considered unthinkable 30 years ago. So the next time you hear a colleague or client gripe that the art market is doomed to keep repeating the same mistakes, feel free to remind them that an awful lot tends to change in three decades.
**The Record-Breakers**

**Here Are the Most Expensive Works of Art Sold at Auction in the Past 30 Years**

Not long before the launch of the artnet Price Database, Vincent van Gogh’s *Irises* (1889) set a record for the priciest work of art ever sold at auction when it fetched $53.9 million at Sotheby’s New York in November 1987. In the decades since, that record has been broken seven times. See the entire championship lineage here. (All prices include premiums.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artist</th>
<th>Work Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Sold For</th>
<th>Adjusted for Inflation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vincent van Gogh</td>
<td>Portrait of Dr. Gachet (1890)</td>
<td>May 15, 1990</td>
<td>Christie’s New York</td>
<td>$40 million to $50 million</td>
<td>$82.5 million</td>
<td>$161.7 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Picasso</td>
<td>Garçon à la pipe (1905)</td>
<td>May 5, 2004</td>
<td>Sotheby’s New York</td>
<td>$70 million</td>
<td>$104.2 million</td>
<td>$141.3 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Picasso</td>
<td>Nude, Green Leaves and Bust (1932)</td>
<td>May 4, 2010</td>
<td>Christie’s New York</td>
<td>$70 million to $90 million</td>
<td>$106.5 million</td>
<td>$125.1 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis Bacon</td>
<td>Three Studies of Lucian Freud (1969)</td>
<td>November 12, 2013</td>
<td>Christie’s New York</td>
<td>$85 million</td>
<td>$142.4 million</td>
<td>$156.6 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonardo da Vinci</td>
<td>Salvator Mundi (ca. 1500)</td>
<td>November 15, 2017</td>
<td>Christie’s New York</td>
<td>$100 million</td>
<td>$450.3 million</td>
<td>$500.1 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edvard Munch</td>
<td>The Scream (1893)</td>
<td>May 2, 2012</td>
<td>Sotheby’s New York</td>
<td>$80 million</td>
<td>$119.9 million</td>
<td>$133.8 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis Bacon</td>
<td>Three Studies of Lucian Freud (1969)</td>
<td>November 12, 2013</td>
<td>Christie’s New York</td>
<td>$85 million</td>
<td>$142.4 million</td>
<td>$156.6 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Images from top:** Vincent van Gogh, *Portrait of Dr. Gachet* (1890); Pablo Picasso, *Nude, Green Leaves and Bust* (1932); Francis Bacon, *Three Studies of Lucian Freud* (1969); Pablo Picasso, *Garçon à la pipe* (1905).
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**Art Market Evolution**

**Goodbye Art World**

- Disguising yourself as an art handler to sneak into Art Basel before the other collectors
- Closing a $10,000 deal through weeks of letters and faxes
- (Mostly) ignoring an art fair calendar with only three major events: Art Basel, Art Cologne, and Art Actuelle in Brussels
- Stumbling into a job as a museum guard and parlaying it into a successful curatorship
- Wondering if a collector will return those slides of new work that you sent him
- Auction results dominated by chance and the energy in the room
- Earning your bonus by gathering scarce market information

---

**Hello Art Industry!**

- Using the PDF previews and Art Basel app to finish your buying from home before the fair opens
- Closing a $1 million deal through a few minutes of midnight texting
- (Mostly) forgetting there is life outside airports and the 200+ fairs sprinkled around the globe
- Earning a master’s in art business but getting stuck in middle management
- Top gallers whose “strategy files” consist entirely of scribbled-on cocktail napkins
- Receiving an automated email to alert you that the collector downloaded the Dropbox of high-res images
- Auction results dominated by in-house guarantees and third-party irrevocable bids placed before the sale begins
- Earning your bonus by distilling a mass of market information into what you actually need to know

---
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Know Your Auction History

1988
Sotheby’s goes public on the New York Stock Exchange.

1989
artnet launches the first digital database of auction prices.

1997
Victor and Sally Ganz’s collection brings in $206.5 million at Christie’s, making this the most lucrative single-owner auction ever.

1998
Luxury-goods titan François Pinault buys a majority stake in Christie’s.

1999
artnet begins holding the first online-only fine-art auctions.

2001
Phillips taps Simon de Pury as chairman and Daniella Luxembourg as president of the newly renamed house Phillips, de Pury & Luxembourg.

2002
Former Sotheby’s chairman A. Alfred Taubman is sentenced to 10 months in prison for conducting a six-year price-fixing scheme with Christie’s.

2008
Sotheby’s holds Damien Hirst’s boundary-breaking “Beautiful Inside My Head Forever” sale. That same night, Lehman Brothers files for bankruptcy—signaling the start of the Great Recession.

2012
Sotheby’s holds its first sale in mainland China. The Mercury Group buys Simon de Pury’s stake and rebrands the auction house as simply Phillips (again).

2013
Christie’s and Sotheby’s both report more than $1 billion in private sales for the first time.

2014
Christie’s November contemporary art evening auction in New York makes $853 million, the highest total for a single sale ever.

2016
Sotheby’s acquires the art advisory Art Agency Partners for $85 million.

2018
The Rockefeller Collection brings in $832 million at Christie’s, a new record for priciest single-owner sale

2019
Sotheby’s agrees to be acquired for $3.7 billion by French telecom tycoon Patrick Drahi, who plans to take the house private (again).
Is the Auction Guarantee Bubble About to Pop?

by Eileen Kinsella

In 1986, the newsprint magnate Peter Brant made what was then an unprecedented deal. The collection of the taxi tycoon Robert Scull was heading to auction at Sotheby’s, and Brant negotiated with the executor of the estate to pay more than $5 million for a majority stake in the legendary collector’s holdings before they were sold off. That November, when Scull’s collection made a total of $8.6 million, Brant walked away with a number of works he coveted—and a hefty profit to boot.
How a Third-Party Guarantee Works

A guarantee agreement can be entered into in two ways:

1. **The auction house offers the consignor a guaranteed minimum price with its own money—and then seeks out a client to back the work.**
   - If bidding surpasses the guarantee, the guarantor pockets a pre-negotiated portion of the upside—anywhere from 10 to 50 percent, but usually between 20 and 30 percent.
   - If bidding does not reach the agreed-upon minimum price, the guarantor pays up and goes home with the work.

2. **The client proactively approaches the house to negotiate a deal.**
   - On the day of the sale, be alert: The only way to confirm which works are guaranteed is to listen to the auctioneer’s rapid-fire announcements of the latest deals.

### The Rise and Fall of Guarantees

Third-party guarantees became increasingly popular over the past decade as Christie’s and Sotheby’s looked to limit their liability following a bruising 2007 auction season at the dawn of the financial crisis. After competing to attract property, they ended up stuck with a reported $63 million worth of art they had guaranteed with their own money.

As the art market regained strength around 2010, the houses had to find a way to remain competitive while limiting their exposure. The solution: farm out the risk to willing investors and offer them a reward in return.

For a few years, as the art market continued its buoyant rebound, the technique worked like a charm. But those days now seem to be numbered. Research shows that third-party-guarantee performance crested in 2017. That year, the total value of artworks sold with irrevocable bids reached $2 billion (based on hammer prices), according to Pi-eX. In 2018, sales of works with guarantees shrank by 10 percent, to $1.8 billion.

This downward trend continued in the first semester of 2019. Most affected were New York’s May contemporary-art evening sales, where the number of works sold with irrevocable bids decreased by almost 25 percent from the 2017 pinnacle, tumbling from 62 then to 47 in 2019.

Data provided by the auction houses reinforces this shift. Christie’s had a high of 38 deals in place for its postwar and contemporary evening sale in May 2017; the number for the recent May evening sale was 16, or less than half. Similarly, Sotheby’s secured 23 third-party guarantees for its Impressionist and Modern evening sales in November 2018 but just 14 for its most recent sale, in May. (Phillips has reported a consistent level of third-party guarantees—around 30 percent of the works in its spring sales—over the past two years.)

In the 33 years since this behind-the-scenes transaction, Brant’s mechanism—now known as a third-party guarantee, or irrevocable bid—has become so widely used that much of the art in a marquee evening sale may have been spoken for long before the auctioneer even picks up his gavel. A guarantee is now an accepted financial derivative that, depending on whom you talk to, either distorts the art market or offers auction houses and consignors a useful tool to hedge their bets.

“Their use appears to have peaked. Now, the trade is left wondering: Have guarantees become too popular for their own good? And what will happen to the market if a tool it once relied on is put back in the shed?”

In 2007, art-market observers were at a loss to explain why guarantees were so prevalent. The answer seems to lie in the motivations of both sides of the negotiation.

The auction house offers the consignor a guaranteed minimum price with its own money—and then seeks out a client to back the work. The auction house, consignor, and guarantor determine whether the guarantor will be paid a financing fee and, most importantly, settle on a minimum price at which the guarantor pledges to buy the work.

The client proactively approaches the house to negotiate a deal. On the day of the sale, be alert: The only way to confirm which works are guaranteed is to listen to the auctioneer’s rapid-fire announcements of the latest deals.

“People have my back, and I can’t do that,” says Rob Neilson, a dealer in Liverpool, England. “If prices go back to 2007, I think they are going to disappear.”

---

**Data courtesy of Pi-eX Ltd**
Guaranteed... to Backfire?

Guarantees may also be losing their shine for auction houses, which can be left holding the bag if high-profile works subject to complex financing agreements underperform. Last year, Sotheby’s suffered losses when two guaranteed paintings failed to meet expectations.

At the house’s Impressionist and Modern evening auction in May 2018, Modigliani’s Nus couché (1917) carried a lofty $150 million estimate, the largest ever placed on a single work. But after several protracted—and painful—pauses by the auctioneer, it was hammered down at a below-estimate $139 million. The following month, a 1932 Picasso painting, Buste de femme de profil (Femme écrivante), fell well short of its $45 million estimate to sell for $36 million on a single bid. Both works went home with their respective guarantors.

Sotheby’s later noted that the two deals put a drag on its earnings in the second quarter of 2018. Its commission margin, it said at the time, was reduced by two factors: “a higher level of auction commission shared with consignors” and buyers’ premiums “used to offset auction guarantee shortfalls and fees incurred in respect of auction guarantee risk sharing agreements.” In other words, Sotheby’s sacrificed some of its profit to lure top-flight works with top-flight guarantees—and was left in the hole when the paintings failed to fly at auction.

The house seems eager not to repeat its mistake. In December, the position of CFO held by prominent deal-maker Adam Chinn—widely known in the industry for his outsize appetite for risk—was eliminated. His replacements, John Cahill as chief commercial officer and Ken Citron as executive vice president of operations, are believed to be more cautious. At the end of the second quarter of this year, the auction house noted that its commission margins had rebounded in the absence of major money-losing deals.

The Back(er) List:

Here Are the Biggest Third-Party Backers in the Game

Guarantees may also be losing their shine for auction houses, which can be left holding the bag if high-profile works subject to complex financing agreements underperform. Last year, Sotheby’s suffered losses when two guaranteed paintings failed to meet expectations.

At the house’s Impressionist and Modern evening auction in May 2018, Modigliani’s Nus couché (1917) carried a lofty $150 million estimate, the largest ever placed on a single work. But after several protracted—and painful—pauses by the auctioneer, it was hammered down at a below-estimate $139 million. The following month, a 1932 Picasso painting, Buste de femme de profil (Femme écrivante), fell well short of its $45 million estimate to sell for $36 million on a single bid. Both works went home with their respective guarantors.

Sotheby’s later noted that the two deals put a drag on its earnings in the second quarter of 2018. Its commission margin, it said at the time, was reduced by two factors: “a higher level of auction commission shared with consignors” and buyers’ premiums “used to offset auction guarantee shortfalls and fees incurred in respect of auction guarantee risk sharing agreements.” In other words, Sotheby’s sacrificed some of its profit to lure top-flight works with top-flight guarantees—and was left in the hole when the paintings failed to fly at auction.

The house seems eager not to repeat its mistake. In December, the position of CFO held by prominent deal-maker Adam Chinn—widely known in the industry for his outsize appetite for risk—was eliminated. His replacements, John Cahill as chief commercial officer and Ken Citron as executive vice president of operations, are believed to be more cautious. At the end of the second quarter of this year, the auction house noted that its commission margins had rebounded in the absence of major money-losing deals.
The Deal of a Lifetime

There may be no better guarantee deal in the entire history of the art market than the one arranged between Christie’s and the client who put an irrevocable bid on Leonardo da Vinci’s *Salvator Mundi*, which was estimated at around $100 million but soared to a final price of $450.3 million in November 2017.

Due to client confidentiality rules, auction houses do not reveal the identity of third-party guarantors. But artnet News’s Kenny Schachter reported it was Taiwanese billionaire Pierre Chen, whom he called the auction house’s “go-to guarantor for big-ticket items” and “by far the world’s most successful player in this arena, and the canniest.”

Chen, who presumably placed an irrevocable bid somewhere near the low estimate of $100 million, likely received a payout in the nine figures as a result, according to sources. Though each deal is different, Chen likely received both a financing fee and the right to share a percentage of the upside—which, in this case, cashed in at roughly $300 million, the difference between the low estimate and the $400 million hammer price.

For Chen, a 10 percent share of that upside would work out to a cool $30 million. If he negotiated as much as 30 percent of the upside, he would have pocketed around $90 million. Not a bad payoff for a losing bid.

Looking Down the Line

Regardless of what is causing the slowdown, one thing is clear: The golden age of guarantees may be coming to an end. So what happens next?

Some observers predict that the speculators who entered the guarantee market in recent years—those whom Cynthia Sachs, the CIO of Athena Art Finance, describes as “a second tier of younger guarantors who are less seasoned and maybe taking a little more risk”—are likely to look elsewhere to make a quick buck.

For some, this is a welcome development that might make the market a bit more reflective of actual demand. Guarantees, says art advisor Todd Levin, “work like steroids do for bodybuilders, in that they artificially enlarge markets.”

Moving forward, the guarantee business may return to the smaller club of solid, seasoned players with a deep knowledge of the market—Peter Brant and those like him—who helped develop it in the first place.

But if fewer works have bids in place before an auction begins, one thing is certain: The art market is about to become a lot less predictable.

What Does It Mean?

As is often the case in the art market, the growing avoidance of guarantees may be as much psychological as it is driven by spreadsheets and profit margins. Bidders, some say, have become reluctant to compete against someone who already has a potentially lucrative deal in place and may have more information than other bidders heading into the sale.

“Your money goes farther than everyone else’s money” when you make a third-party guarantee, notes attorney Luke Nikas. Clients who submit such a bid often—though not always—receive a financing fee from the auction house, so if they end up owning the work, the overall cost is lower. Competitors don’t like that another bidder has such an advantage, and without competition, guarantees become a lot less profitable.

Still, not everyone sees the dip in guarantees as a red flag. Market boosters say it is simply a rosy sign of confidence in a more stable—i.e., no longer frothy—market. In a conference call with investors in May, Sotheby’s CEO Tad Smith noted that a large number of estates and charitable organizations that had consigned work opted against a guarantee, choosing to take their chances so they would not have to share the upside with a third party.

“These are very sophisticated consignors,” Smith said. “When you begin to see people saying, ‘Maybe we don’t want the guarantee,’ it’s a very interesting indicator of the health of the market.”

Symbols to Know

Every auction house notates deals a bit differently. Here are the symbols to look out for in the auction catalogue.

Phillips
- Guaranteed property
- Third-party guarantee
- Property in which Phillips has an ownership interest

Sotheby’s
- Guaranteed property
- Property for which a third party has provided Sotheby’s with an irrevocable bid
- Property owned partially or fully by Sotheby’s

Christie’s
- Guaranteed property
- Third-party guarantee
- Property owned partially or fully by Christie’s or a Christie’s affiliate
- A party with a direct or indirect interest in the property, who may have knowledge about the property’s reserve or other sale information, may be bidding
Thirty years ago, buying contemporary art was the leisurely pursuit of a cosmopolitan elite. Today, it is more like a competitive spectator sport. The massive growth of the market for new art—driven by the spread of international art fairs, the proliferation of auctions, and the establishment of mega-galleries on multiple continents—has been fueled by the arrival of a wave of new buyers. Today, hedge-fund investors keen to diversify their portfolios, property developers looking to add cultural cachet to their buildings, deep-pocketed collectors from non-Western emerging economies, and those simply wanting to gain access to a glitzy social scene all vie to acquire contemporary art.

Several of these new buyers have amassed massive collections—often in a remarkably short time—and put their spoils on public display in cities from London to Shanghai to Hobart, Tasmania. We have not seen such an epic period of private gallery construction since industrialists like Solomon Guggenheim and John Paul Getty were building museums bearing their names in the mid-20th century.

To better understand this critical moment, we spoke to three art patrons who are each at a different stage in their collecting careers: Patrizia Sandretto Re Rebaudengo of Turin, Dimitris Daskalopoulos of Athens, and Paul Ettlinger of London. The trio met one recent morning in Mayfair over coffee and pastries to discuss the rise of private collector-run museums, changes to the art market (and how they negotiate them), and the future of their own collections at a time of increased scrutiny of private collectors.

New collectors entering the market today often complain about not getting access to the work they want. They may have the money to buy it, but if a gallery doesn’t know them, they are unlikely to get the opportunity. What was it like for you when you first started collecting?

Patrizia Sandretto Re Rebaudengo

When I started in the 1990s, the market was very slow. I remember coming to London and all the galleries were so friendly and welcoming—they introduced me to artists, they took me to their studios. I’m not sure if it’s the same if you start to collect now and a gallery doesn’t know you.

Paul Ettlinger

It might be similar if you’re collecting very young artists from younger galleries, because they’re looking to establish relationships with collectors who are going to buy that artist’s work in depth. But if you’re going for a more established contemporary artist, unless you have an advisor or unless the gallery knows you, it is quite difficult to walk in off the street and just buy art, regardless of how much money you have. At the very beginning of my collecting, my advisor gave me access to galleries, and that was really important, because in the early days, I probably wouldn’t have been able to buy what I wanted.

Dimitris Daskalopoulos

I had the opposite experience, because I have always bought the things that nobody else wants, so I have always had easy access. I had the gratitude of galleries who were able to get rid of some of their huge installations that are so hard to sell. One of them confided in me that when I bought a specific artwork, they opened a bottle of champagne as soon as I left the gallery.

You’re one of the few private collectors I can think of who has bought a Christoph Büchel installation.

Daskalopoulos

Which takes two containers to store. We’ve shown it in Athens once, for a two-month period as part of a summer festival. I’m glad we have it, but it’s very hard to show.

And when you show it, presumably Büchel has to come and supervise the process?

Daskalopoulos

Oh, yes—it costs more money again to put it up.
Over the past 15 years, an increasing number of collectors have opened galleries to show their art. Chris Dercon, the former director of Tate Modern and now head of the Grand Palais in Paris, has said that collectors should be supporting public museums instead of establishing their own spaces, because they do not have the accumulated expertise of major institutions.

What’s your response?

Re Rebaudengo

It’s true that many private museums have opened in recent years. And many have already closed. Some collectors opened a space because it was fashionable and then realized that running a gallery is not an easy job. But I think we have to consider where these private spaces are located. In Italy, the first national contemporary art museum, MAXXI in Rome, opened in 2010. It was important that many private collections were made available to the public there, and in Italy, it was the only way for the public to see contemporary art. And when I think about the future of my art in the long term, I think museums are extremely important. It’s not necessary for private collectors to open a space because it was fashionable and then realized that people want to have their art on public display. There might be a point in asking how accessible individual private museums are. Are they only open one day a year because they’ve been set up to reduce one’s taxes? But that is a different point.

Daskalopoulos

It’s important to point out that in Europe we generally don’t have tax benefits for giving art to museums or opening foundations—in fact, we have tax burdens. When you give something worth €10,000, for example, to a charitable organization or museum in Greece, you then also have to give half a percentage point to the state as a donation tax.

Daskalopoulos

That is the most important thing. That’s why I’m worried about this. I am very open to lending. I consider my collection a repository, accessible to anyone. But it’s very rare to get loan requests for large installations. And even if you’re willing to gift them to a museum, I think it’s sometimes a burden for institutions, because these large works need storage and insurance, and everybody’s money is running out.

Re Rebaudengo

I am also increasingly thinking about the future of my collection. I really hope that it can remain together. Public museums have a lot, a lot, a lot of work that they cannot do. So, in the end, I am here to make sure that my collection is preserved for the future. I think it’s more valuable to do different projects in different places where they are needed. And when I think about the future of my art in the long term, I think museums are definitely much better placed to keep whatever is valuable in my collection in dialogue with what will come in the future, and to preserve it so it can be shown. Public institutions are much better than any structure that we, as individuals, can leave behind. I think I’ve created a collection that has a theme and coherence. I don’t need to be adding to it anymore. Now I want to devote the rest of my activity around the collection giving these artworks and the artists who made them something of kind of future.

And how will you do that? Can we expect to see some of your works in the collection of Tate or MoMA?

Daskalopoulos

Maybe, yes. Unfortunately, there are some artworks that we as private collectors own that probably do not have a future because they are too big to be shown or too difficult to store, so they may stay in the dark forever. The question is: What do we do with them? How do we work with museums or with the artists themselves to find a destination for these works?

Daskalopoulos

I think there is a solution. In 2015, the city of Sheffield [in England] organized a great event called “Going Public.” They invited four collectors, including me, to show part of their collections there and then organized two days of talks. The idea was to show works that collectors keep in storage, or that they only loan to important museums in major capital cities. We should start to imagine that collectors could give their art to places like Sheffield for free. The ownership would remain with us, but if cities like Sheffield have spaces to display works, they could show our collections. I think it’s important that people should be able to see the art we own.
Paul, would you like to open a space?

Paul Ettlinger

Yes, sooner rather than later, although I suspect it’s going to be later. I would love to have a space where we have our works out of storage. We do show the art in our home to family, friends, and visitors and organize evenings with artists, and we very much enjoy this. We looked at a former printing facility in Margate as a potential home for the collection, but if I’m going to put my works on public display, I want to have easy access to them so I can go and see them—that’s part of the passion for me. I don’t want to have to get on a train.

A major shift we’ve seen over the past 18 months is the way that museum benefactors are being scrutinized, from the Sacklers to Warren Kanders at the Whitney, and the way institutions are systematically being pressured to sever ties with patrons who are deemed problematic. Do you think this will put rich people off from donating to museums?

Daskalopolous

Is there such a thing as purely clean money? How do we define that? If we do a bad thing but then later do a good thing by donating money to a museum, it makes up for the bad thing you’ve done.” But it’s a complicated issue. We all know about the scandal of opioids in America today. But at the time the Sacklers gave money to museums, we were not so well informed, and that money was accepted by museums in good faith.

Let’s turn to the workings of the art world, and the market in particular. You have all been buying art for many years. Are there some aspects of the market that you find antiquated and unprofessional?

Ettlinger

It would be helpful to have more understanding of how galleries work and how they pay their artists. A young artist recently told me he’s not expecting to get paid by his gallery for works of his that it sold. I was quite saddened by that, and I think there’s sometimes a problem with the way galleries and their artists handle their relationships; it seems as if some of them don’t have written agreements. Maybe that’s why we, as collectors, need to look after the artists whose work we collect and help them so that they feel supported.

Re Rebaudengo

Everything in the art market happens so quickly now. The day before a fair opens, galleries send us PDFs of all the work we collect and help them so that they feel supported.

Daskalopolous

I think the fundamentals of the art market have never changed. We are talking about creativity that there is no objective value to. We are talking about a market that’s organized, it is inexplicable, but it keeps happening. If I feel sometimes I’ve overpaid for something, I would not blame anyone but myself. I would never go back to the gallery and say, “You overcharged me.”

One of the things people complain about in the art market today is the speculators, who buy just so they can flip work and make a profit.

Daskalopolous

Again, I say it’s a free market with intelligent players acting based on intelligent people deciding to put their money somewhere of their own free will with a handshake. These fundamentals have never changed and never will. It is unorganized, it is inexplicable, but it keeps happening. If I feel sometimes I’ve overpaid for something, I would not blame anyone but myself. I would never go back to the gallery and say, “You overcharged me.”

Do you think this increased scrutiny will stop people from donating to museums?

Ettlinger

Almost certainly. If people have something to hide, they will think twice about donating. But maybe that’s not a bad thing. Maybe this is where private museums come in. Maybe these individuals will set up their own private museums instead.

Daskalopolous

It goes beyond individuals donating to museums. With some corporations, for example, the objection about accepting their money is that they do not do enough for a specific cause, a good cause that is dear to the public, such as climate change. What is enough? Who defines it? If, as a corporation, you suddenly decide, “We’re not doing enough—we’re going to double what we’re doing,” will that be enough? Climate change is very important for all of us, and certainly we’re not doing enough, because we have not solved the problem. But let’s not destroy other good things in society because we have a good cause to advance. If donors become afraid and stop giving money to museums, who is going to lose? The public. So in pursuing a good cause, we’re maybe doing wrong somewhere else. Activism and idealism are useful in pushing us to do more and sooner. But in themselves, they should not be judgment mechanisms or justice mechanisms.

Activism and idealism are useful in pushing us to do more and sooner. But in themselves, they should not be judgment mechanisms or justice mechanisms.
That is something we need to correct. We should find a way to ensure that fairness exists. However, big galleries can dictate their own terms. In Oscar Murillo’s case, even though he joined Zwirner, he does still work with the smaller London gallery Carlos/Ishikawa, which has been with him since the beginning. So the art market should function more like soccer?

Yes. How many young galleries have closed? They started with artists that are well-known today, yet the gallery that discovered them is unable to continue to operate. It’s also problematic. The moment of speculation is not when the artist joins Zwirner or Gagosian, it’s when rumors start circulating about a particular artist’s joining a large gallery. This is the moment in which collectors can buy their work for a good price and you can make a lot of money.

Take Oscar Murillo. You could buy his work for just a small amount of money before, and now that he is with Zwirner, prices have more than doubled.

Yes, but how many collectors have bought work by young artists and then the work never went anywhere? There are countless cases. The press only writes about the success stories, not the multitude of unsuccessful ones. There are a lot of ways to influence some artists’ prices upwards, but in the end, I think it has been proven throughout the centuries that the art that lasts and becomes valuable over time is the better art.

We do have to think about what happens when artists leave the small galleries that supported them at the beginning of their careers. This is a very big problem. In the primary market, your work might be selling for €50,000, and then, six months later, your work is suddenly selling for €500,000 at auction—and then what happens? How do you ensure that people don’t buy your work from the gallery just to flip it at auction? This is also a very big change.

About the Collectors

Patrizia Sandretto
Re Rebaudengo

began buying art in 1992. Just three years later, she established a foundation to support young artists with her husband, Agostino, scion of an aristocratic Piedmontese family. Today, she operates two spaces for her collection and programming: one in an 18th-century palazzo in Guarene, 30 miles southeast of Turin, and one in the city itself. A keen commissioner of new art, she is also a generous lender of works from her 1,500-piece collection, which includes works by Ian Cheng, Josh Kline, and Lynette Yiadom-Boakye.

Dimitris Daskalopoulos

is a Greek entrepreneur who founded the financial services company DAMMA Holdings SA. He has been collecting contemporary art for around 25 years and owns some 500 works. He favors large, difficult installations, such as the Swiss-Icelandic artist Christoph Büchel’s 5,000-square-foot Unplugged (Simplycatid) (2007), which contains a bar, sleeping areas, and vast mountains of junk. In 2013, he launched NEON, a foundation that organizes pop-up art shows around Greece. (The organization recently placed 29 sculptures by Antony Gormley on the uninhabited island of Delos.) He serves as vice president of the board of the Solomon R. Guggenheim Foundation in New York.

Paul Ettlinger

is a British doctor who founded the London General Practice and the London Global Practice in Harley Street. He has been collecting for around 10 years, with a particular focus on the work of young, emerging artists. An early collector of the work of Oscar Murillo, he helped fund a show of the artist’s work at the South London Gallery in 2013. Ettlinger’s 250-piece collection also includes works by such established artists as Sarah Lucas, George Condo, Rebecca Warren, and Isa Genzken.

Ettlinger

I agree. I have often thought there should be transfer fees paid by big galleries to smaller ones, but it is also up to the artist to ensure that fairness exists. However, big galleries can dictate their own terms. In Oscar Murillo’s case, even though he joined Zwirner, he does still work with the smaller London gallery Carlos/Ishikawa, which has been with him since the beginning.
There Is No Such Thing as the African Art Market... Yet.

by Rebecca Anne Proctor

Ask a question about the African art market, and many experts will be quick to tell you that there is no such thing. How could one market possibly encompass 54 countries, 1.2 billion people, and countless aesthetic traditions?

It can’t. But that won’t stop the global art market from trying. The commercial sector has a way of co-opting talent into the international art slipstream (sometimes flattening history and context in the process). It has done so with artists—and entire movements—from Asia and Latin America in the past. Africa may be next in line.

The seeds are there: strong art schools, a growing number of high-net-worth individuals, and rapid urbanization. Researchers project that the continent will be home to at least nine cities of more than 10 million people by 2050. Meanwhile, museums in Europe and North America have hosted an unprecedented number of shows of African art in recent years, while art fairs dedicated to the field have sprung up worldwide, including 1-54 in New York, London, and Marrakech and the newer Art X Lagos, which kicks off its fourth edition in Nigeria in November.

Activity in cities such as Marrakech and Lagos is “opening the eyes of collectors,” says Kavita Chellaram, founder of the Lagos-based auction house Arthouse Contemporary. “African collectors from different regions are now interested in buying African art from different regions. There will be an African art market. It’s just the beginning.”

What’s Ahead

Today, Africa’s art market has plenty of room to grow. Fewer than 1,000 works were sold at auction on the continent in the first six months of 2019, according to the artnet Price Database. In Asia, where Hong Kong has emerged as a hub for the trade, Africa lacks a prominent art-market capital.

And while the continent’s local collector base is growing steadily—Sotheby’s fourth dedicated auction of Modern and contemporary African art in April was dominated by African buyers and generated a total of $3 million, above its presale high estimate of $2.7 million—it is still nascent compared with the US, China, and Europe.

What is missing? “You need an infrastructure,” says Hannah O’Leary, head of Modern and contemporary African art at Sotheby’s. “On the whole, there is a real lack of public support. We are seeing lots of raw talent, but we need more of a market structure in order to support their careers.”

Some ambitious institutions are already rising. Over the past two years, museums like Zeitz MOCAA in Cape Town, the Museum of African Contemporary Art Al Maaden in Marrakech, and the Museum of Black Civilizations in Dakar have launched with a mission to write their own contemporary art histories. Meanwhile, artists who have already found commercial success, such as Hassan Hajjaj and Ibrahim Mahama, have set up institutions of their own to nurture young talent at home.

A Closer Look

A single hub for such a massive and heterogeneous continent may never materialize. Instead, Rakeb Sile, cofounder of the gallery Addis Fine Art, predicts that “there will be and should be different hubs in several regions of Africa.”

We have assembled a guide to six of these dynamic emerging art capitals: Accra, Addis Ababa, Cape Town, Dakar, Lagos, and Marrakech. Each city has its own heritage, culture, and distinctive history with colonialism—resulting in unique artistic production.

Painting remains most popular in East Africa, which is home to the two oldest art schools on the continent, while West Africa has fostered more experimentation with large-scale installations and performance-based work. Both sides of the continent also have a rich tradition of photography.

“There shouldn’t be any question about quality and talent in Africa,” O’Leary says. And where talent goes, the art world follows. The presence of an increasingly wealthy clientele to cater to certainly helps. “When we talk about buyer potential in Africa,” she adds, “there shouldn’t be any doubt.”
Accra, Ghana

Ghana was home to a number of tight-knit artist communities, like the one known as the Akwapim Six, before it gained independence from Great Britain in 1957. But after Ghana’s first president, Kwame Nkrumah, was overthrown in 1966, government support for the arts diminished. At least, until now.

The country’s first-ever Venice Biennale pavilion drew rave reviews this year. And back in Accra, the celebrated Ghanaian-British architect David Adjaye is transforming a 17th-century castle into a major new museum.

The country’s profile has also been boosted by the success of such international art stars as El Anatsui, Ibrahim Mahama, and Lynette Yiadom-Boakye (who, although born in London, is of Ghanaian origin). Mahama recently founded an artist-run project space, the Savannah Center for Contemporary Art, in the city of Tamale, less than an hour’s flight from Accra.

**Economy**

Ghana’s fortunes have been on the rise since the discovery of offshore oil in 1992. The World Bank projects the country’s economy will grow 7.6 percent in 2019—but experts say that it must diversify for long-term sustainability.

**Tourism**

The budget for Ghana’s ministry of tourism, culture, and creative arts rose 120 percent between 2014 and 2018; it is expected to triple again by 2022.

**Education**

Look out for artists who attended Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, the country’s top school for art, which boasts El Anatsui and Ibrahim Mahama as alumni.

**Galleries and Institutions to Know**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GALLERY 1957</strong></td>
<td>A contemporary art gallery founded by engineer turned collector turned dealer Marwan Zakhem, it has two spaces in Accra’s Kempinski Hotel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ANO GHANA</strong></td>
<td>This art space was established by Ghanaian art historian Nana Oforiatta Ayim, who also organized the country’s celebrated pavilion at this year’s Venice Biennale.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NUBUKE FOUNDATION</strong></td>
<td>Currently undergoing an expansion, the prominent institution gave early shows to major artists like El Anatsui.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARTISTS ALLIANCE GALLERY</strong></td>
<td>A three-story space on Labadi Beach, it was originally set up to house the works of its owner, Ablade Glover, and now presents a mix of work by Ghanaian artists as well as Kente cloths, African masks, and furniture.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Artists to Know

Zohra Opoku
BORN: 1976
WHAT TO KNOW
The German-Ghanaian artist, who won the Armory Show’s inaugural Presents prize for her solo booth in 2017, makes hybrid textiles and photographs that often depict contemporary Ghanaian women in traditional dress, exploring what it means to be a woman in the African Muslim world.
MOST WANTED
Screen prints on textiles and fabric
GALLERY AFFILIATION
Gallery 1957 (Accra); Mariane Ibrahim gallery (Chicago)
PRICE RANGE
$15,000 to $35,000
UP NEXT
Opoku will have a solo show at Gallery 1957 in Accra this December, and she was invited to be one of the inaugural artists at Blak Rock, Kehinde Wiley’s new residency program in Dakar.

Amoako Boafo
BORN: 1984
WHAT TO KNOW
Boafo creates vivid portraits of black people living in his adopted hometown of Vienna. He was brought to the attention of Roberts Projects gallery by his friend Kehinde Wiley.
MOST WANTED
Works from his “Black Diaspora” portrait series
GALLERY AFFILIATION
Mariane Ibrahim gallery (Chicago); Roberts Projects (Los Angeles)
PRICE RANGE
$10,000 to $25,000
UP NEXT
He will have work at Mariane Ibrahim’s Expo Chicago presentation and a solo show at her gallery in spring 2020.

Godfried Donkor
BORN: 1964
WHAT TO KNOW
Donkor, who splits his time between London and Accra, is best known for his paintings and collages drawn from research into the history of slavery and intercontinental trade.
MOST WANTED
Paintings from his “From Slave to Champ” series from the early 1990s and his recent “Battle Royale: Last Man Standing” series, both of which explore the phenomenon of slaves being forced to box
PRICE RANGE
$18,700 to $37,000 for paintings; $10,000 to $12,400 for collages
GALLERY AFFILIATION
Gallery 1957 (Accra)
UP NEXT
He currently has a solo show at Gallery 1957.

From left: Zohra Opoku, Rhododenron (2016); Amoako Boafo, Sunflower Shirt (2019); Godfried Donkor, Anokye’s Dance (2017)
Coptic art, shaped by the 1,500-year history of the Ethiopian Orthodox Tewahedo Church, is one of the country’s major artistic influences and continues to be practiced by numerous artisans. But the 20th century also witnessed three distinct artistic movements that remained popular until the Ethiopian Revolution of 1974: a realistic, or “naïve,” style used to depict glamorous Ethiopian society; abstraction, which incorporated influences from Western Expressionism and Surrealism; and social realism, which was political in subject matter and focused largely on urban scenes and the struggling masses.

Despite the difficulty in obtaining art materials, which must be either imported from abroad or made at home, today’s artists work largely in paint, together with photography and sculpture using found objects.

**Galleries and Institutions to Know**

**ALLE SCHOOL OF FINE ART & DESIGN**
Ethiopia’s most important art school, it was founded in 1958, during the reign of Emperor Haile Selassie, and has educated the country’s preeminent painters, sculptors, printmakers, and designers.

**ADDIS FINE ART**
The most notable commercial gallery in the capital and its first white-cube art space, Addis regularly showcases graduates from the Alle School. It will open a new location in London’s Cromwell Place gallery hub in 2020.

**GURAMANE ART CENTER**
A gallery dedicated to emerging Ethiopian artists, it represents the vanguard of the country’s art scene.

**ZOMA**
This sprawling museum, founded by artist Elias Sime and curator Meskerem Assegued, opened in April 2019 and shows contemporary art from East Africa and abroad.

**People**
Home to more than 112 million people, Ethiopia is the second-most populous country in Africa.

**Economy**
According to the International Monetary Fund, Ethiopia’s economy is expected to grow 8.5 percent this fiscal year, making it the fastest-growing economy in Sub-Saharan Africa.

**Addis Ababa, Ethiopia**

“**A LOT OF PEOPLE USE ART FOR COMMERCIAL OR PROPAGANDA PURPOSES, AND I HOPE THAT OUR GOVERNMENT UNDERSTANDS THE POWER OF SUPPORTING OUR ARTISTS AND PRESERVING OUR CULTURE. WE NEED TO THINK OF THE PAST IF WE WANT TO GO TO THE FUTURE.”**

Melaku Belay, founder of the Fendika Cultural Center
Artists to Know

**Addis Ababa**

**Tadesse Mesfin**
**BORN:** 1953

**WHAT TO KNOW**
Mesfin has spent the past 35 years teaching at the Alle School of Fine Art, where he has influenced a generation of painters.

**GALLERY AFFILIATION**
Addis Fine Art (Addis Ababa)

**MOST WANTED**
His recent series, “Pillars of Life,” which celebrates the women who work as vendors in Ethiopian markets. It sold out at this year’s Art Dubai

**PRICE RANGE**
$24,000 to $35,000

**UP NEXT**
Mesfin will participate in the 1-54 art fair in London in October and will have a solo exhibition at Addis Fine Art’s new Cromwell Place location in May 2020.

**Dawit Abebe**
**BORN:** 1978

**WHAT TO KNOW**
Abebe’s large paintings contain figures rendered in thick impasto, typically with their backs turned to the viewer. His work explores such themes as privacy, alienation, and materiality.

**GALLERY AFFILIATION**
Kristin Hjellegjerde (London, Berlin)

**MOST WANTED**
The “Mutual Identity” drawing series (2018) and his “Background Painting” series (2015), which examines the relationship between history and technology

**PRICE RANGE**
$8,000 to $30,000

**UP NEXT**
Abebe will participate in the 1-54 art fair in London and this year’s Karachi Biennale; he will also have a solo show at Kristin Hjellegjerde Gallery in November.

**Elias Sime**
**BORN:** 1968

**WHAT TO KNOW**
Sime creates monumental works from discarded technological components, including salvaged motherboards and electrical wires. This October, he will receive the African Art Award from the Smithsonian’s National Museum of African Art.

**GALLERY AFFILIATION**
James Cohan Gallery (New York); Grimm Gallery (Amsterdam, New York)

**MOST WANTED**
Works from his “Tightrope” series, which features electrical waste meticulously woven into abstract compositions reminiscent of aerial landscapes or textiles

**PRICE RANGE**
$65,000 to $300,000

**UP NEXT**
Sime’s mid-career retrospective will be traveling through 2021, appearing at the Wellin Museum of Art at the Adirondack Museum; the Akron Art Museum; the Kemper Museum; and the Royal Ontario Museum. He will also have a solo exhibition at the Saint Louis Art Museum in 2020.

**Artists to Know**
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**WHAT TO KNOW**
Mesfin has spent the past 35 years teaching at the Alle School of Fine Art, where he has influenced a generation of painters.
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Addis Fine Art (Addis Ababa)
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Abebe’s large paintings contain figures rendered in thick impasto, typically with their backs turned to the viewer. His work explores such themes as privacy, alienation, and materiality.
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In the early 20th century, much of the art coming out of South Africa was European-influenced landscape painting and Impressionism. Interestingly, the country’s art history was also shaped by two female artists who emerged during the 1930s—Maggie Laubser and Irma Stern—who are credited with incorporating Expressionism into South African art.

The apartheid years (1948–94) coincided with a period of experimentation, with artists William Kentridge and Marlene Dumas serving as forerunners of the country’s now-fertile contemporary art scene. But black artists suffered mightily from segregation and political turbulence. Today, Elana Brundyn, CEO of the Norval Foundation, says, “what we are witnessing in Cape Town is the birth of a new art capital in Africa.”

### Population
There are 131 ultra-high-net-worth individuals who live in Cape Town, according to Knight Frank’s 2019 wealth report.

### Economy
Cape Town is South Africa’s second-wealthiest city after Johannesburg—but it also has one of the highest rates of inequality in the world.
Artists to Know

Simphiwe Ndzube
BORN: 1990

WHAT TO KNOW
The Los Angeles-based, Cape Town-born painter and sculptor creates Surrealist depictions of life in post-apartheid South Africa.

GALLERY AFFILIATION
Stevenson (Cape Town, Johannesburg); Nicodim Gallery (Los Angeles, Bucharest); Ever Gold Projects (San Francisco)

MOST WANTED
His otherworldly works on linen, which combine such mediums as acrylic, spray paint, collage, and found objects

PRICE RANGE
$6,000 to $35,000

UP NEXT
His work will be included in the Lyon Biennale when it opens later this month.

Nicholas Hlobo
BORN: 1975

WHAT TO KNOW
The artist makes large-scale installations, primarily out of ribbon, leather, wood, and rubber detritus, that tackle themes of sexuality and gender identity as well as the history of South Africa and his own Xhosa culture.

GALLERY AFFILIATION
Stevenson (Cape Town, Johannesburg); Lehmann Maupin (New York, Hong Kong, Seoul)

MOST WANTED
Mixed media works on linen incorporating ribbon, leather, and domestic objects

PRICE RANGE
$20,000 to $120,000

UP NEXT
He was recently featured in the group show “Material Insanity” at the Museum of African Contemporary Art Al Maaden in Marrakesh, and is included in the 10th edition of Lustwarande, an international sculpture exhibition in the Netherlands running through October 20.

Zanele Muholi
BORN: 1972

WHAT TO KNOW
A photographer and filmmaker who self-identifies as a “visual activist,” Muholi creates black-and-white images depicting black LGBTQ+ communities. They recently won this year’s Lucie Award for Outstanding Achievement for Humanitarian Photography.

GALLERY AFFILIATION
Stevenson (Cape Town, Johannesburg); Yancey Richardson (New York); Wentrup Gallery (Berlin)

MOST WANTED
Black and white self-portraits in editions of eight

PRICE RANGE
$5,000 to $30,000

UP NEXT
Muholi’s work prominently marked the doorways in this year’s Venice Biennale. Their first large-scale retrospective will open at Tate Modern in London on April 29.
Art is in Senegal’s DNA. Léopold Sédar Senghor, the country’s first president after achieving independence in 1960, invested as much as 25 percent of the state’s budget in its culture ministry. Creativity flourished under his presidency during a period between 1960 and 1980 known as l’École de Dakar, which was characterized by state patronage. Dakar’s art scene is also known for Laboratoire Agit’Art, a satirical and avant-garde art collective founded in Dakar in 1973 by writer and performer Youssouf John.

Politics
Senegal is among Africa’s most stable countries, having experienced three peaceful political transitions since 1960.

Resources
Since 2014, discoveries of large oil and gas deposits in Senegal have opened the country to energetic international speculation.

Economy
The World Bank predicts Senegal’s economy will grow more than 6 percent annually over the coming years.

Spotlight on Africa
Dakar, Senegal

Clockwise from top left: the Grand Mosque in Dakar, Senegal; Dakar’s shoreline; Soumbedioune Bay.
Artists to Know

Omar Victor Diop
BORN: 1980

WHAT TO KNOW
Diop’s photographs of prominent figures from Senegal’s cultural scene honor the long tradition of African studio photography.

GALLERY AFFILIATION
MAGNIN-A (Paris); Jenkins Johnson Gallery (San Francisco)

MOST WANTED
The “Diaspora” series (2014), which pays tribute to significant Africans from the 16th to the 19th centuries, and the “Liberty” series (2016), which reflects the history of Black protest movements.

PRICE RANGE
$3,000 to $13,500

UP NEXT
His work will be included in the Fotofest Biennial in Houston next spring.

Omar Ba
BORN: 1977

WHAT TO KNOW
The artist, who splits his time between Dakar and Geneva, creates intricate mixed-media paintings that blur the line between figuration and abstraction while drawing on the legacy of colonialism. Two of his works have come to auction this year, both of them outperforming their high estimates.

GALLERY AFFILIATION
Galerie Templon (Paris, Brussels); Hales Gallery (London, New York)

MOST WANTED
Paintings on cardboard

PRICE RANGE
$22,500 to $67,000 for paintings; $4,500 to $11,000 for drawings

UP NEXT
Ba has a solo show at the Montreal Museum of Fine Arts, running through November 10.

Soly Cissé
BORN: 1969

WHAT TO KNOW
A painter, sculptor, and draftsman, Cissé is best known for abstract paintings in which heroic figures emerge from scenes of chaos and destruction.

GALLERY AFFILIATION
Sulger-Buel Gallery (London); Ethan Cohen Fine Arts (New York)

MOST WANTED
Paintings from the series “Men and Lives” (2018)

PRICE RANGE
Approximately $20,000 for paintings

UP NEXT
The artist’s work will be at Sulger-Buel Gallery’s stand at the 1-54 fair in London in October.
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BORN: 1969
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A painter, sculptor, and draftsman, Cissé is best known for abstract paintings in which heroic figures emerge from scenes of chaos and destruction.
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Spotlight on Africa

In the early 20th century, Lagos’s art scene was dominated by Ben Enwonwu, who developed a distinctly African response to European Modernism. During the 1950s, different schools of art sprang up: The Zaria Rebels fused Modernism with Nigerian forms, while the more conventional Osogbo School carried on Yoruba traditions. Two decades later, students at the University of Nigeria, including El Anatsui and Olu Oguibe, founded the Nsukka group, which aimed to reinvent the traditional Igbo style.

Even after Nigeria emerged from military rule in 1999, it suffered from the perception that it was dangerous and inhospitable. The tide began to turn in the mid-2000s, when a new crop of collectors and art spaces emerged. The country’s scene has been further boosted by the success of Nigerian-born Njideka Akunyili Crosby, whose lush paintings are among the most sought-after works of contemporary art today.
Emeka Ogboh
BORN: 1977

WHAT TO KNOW
The Enugu-born, Berlin-based sound artist participated in both documenta 14 and Skulptur Projekte Münster in 2017 without a gallery backing him—the only artist to do so. He was also shortlisted for the 2018 Hugo Boss Prize for his performances, sound installations, and food-based works that explore migration, the diaspora, and post-colonialism.

GALLERY AFFILIATION
Imane Farès (Paris)

MOST WANTED
His “Sound Portraits,” which comprise speakers housed in painted, striped boxes that hang on the wall like paintings and emit sonic portraits of Lagos

PRICE RANGE
$22,500 to $100,000

UP NEXT
An installation inspired by an Igbo village square is on view at the Cleveland Museum of Art through December 1. His work is also included in a group show about borders, “Walking Through Walls,” at the Martin-Gropius-Bau in Berlin through January 19.

Gerald Chukwuma
BORN: 1973

WHAT TO KNOW
Chukwuma creates intricate sculptures by painting, burning, and chiseling wood and slate. His work examines themes of politics and migration in Africa.

GALLERY AFFILIATION
Gallery 1957 (Accra); Kristin Hjellegjerde Gallery (London, Berlin)

MOST WANTED
His “Wrinkles” series (2017–19), which pays tribute to the historic Igbo Landing site on St. Simons Island in Georgia, where one of the largest mass suicides of slaves took place in 1803

PRICE RANGE
$9,300 to $25,000 for wood-slate sculptural works

UP NEXT
The artist will have a solo show at Gallery 1957 in Accra next August and participate in 1-54’s London edition via Kristin Hjellegjerde Gallery.

Otobong Nkanga
BORN: 1974

WHAT TO KNOW
The Kano-born, Antwerp-based artist creates works inspired by Nigeria’s history, land, and politics in a wide variety of forms and materials. She won a special mention at the Venice Biennale for her winding Murano glass sculpture and works on paper in the central exhibition.

GALLERY AFFILIATION
Mendes Wood DM (São Paulo, Brussels, New York); In Situ-Fabienne Leclerc (Paris); Lumen Travo (Amsterdam)

PRICE RANGE
$8,000 to $200,000

UP NEXT
Nkanga has a solo show at Tate St. Ives through January 2020, a solo exhibition at Zeitz MOCAA in Cape Town opening on November 19, and a residency at the Martin-Gropius-Bau in Berlin.

Artists to Know
During the mid-20th century, amid France’s decolonization, two aesthetic trends emerged in Morocco. The first, promoted by the Tetouan School of Fine Arts, was influenced by the aesthetic of southern Spain. The second, represented by the Casablanca School of Fine Arts, revolved around the young painters Farid Belkahia, Mohamed Melehi, and Mohammed Chebaa, who incorporated Moroccan visual culture and architecture into their geometric and abstract works.

Today, the scene is home to a variety of genres, from street art to performance and film, of which photography may be the most prominent. The city also boasts a more developed gallery and museum infrastructure than many other cities on the continent, as well as its own art fair, 1-54, founded by Moroccan entrepreneur Touria El Glaoui.

“MARRAKECH FIRMLY REPRESENTS THE GATEWAY OF MOROCCO TO AFRICA AND TO EUROPE.”
Meriem Berrada, curator

Education
Keep an eye out for artists who have gone through the prestigious and competitive Jardin Rouge residency at the Montresso Art Foundation.

Economy
The International Monetary Fund’s latest report projects that Morocco’s economic growth rate will reach 4.5 percent by 2024, up from 3.1 percent in 2018.

Galleries and Institutions to Know

MUSEUM OF AFRICAN CONTEMPORARY ART AL MAADEN
Marrakech’s first museum of African art, it was established in 2016.

YVES SAINT LAURENT MUSEUM
This museum dedicated to the late fashion designer Yves Saint Laurent and his love of Morocco opened in 2017.

DAVID BLOCH GALLERY
A gallery of international art, it is designed to resemble a minimalist New York loft.

GALERIE 127
This gallery specializes in work by Moroccan and international photographers.

RIAD YIMA
The gallery, shop, and teahouse was established by the photographer Hassan Hajjaj, whose hip-hop-inspired, color-saturated portraits have earned him the moniker “the Andy Warhol of Marrakech.”
Safaa Erruas

**Born:** 1976

**What to Know:**
Erruas, based in Tetouan, creates intricate sculptures based on domestic furniture and almost exclusively uses the color white.

**Most Wanted:**
Her delicate mixed-media installations incorporating domestic materials, such as *Double Voie* (2019), a work made in metal wire and paper to present a snapshot of life under the 20-year reign of King Mohammed VI.

**Price Range:**
$800 to $18,000

**Gallery Affiliation:**
L’Atelier 21 (Casablanca, Morocco); Officine dell’Immagine (Milan); 50 Golborne (London)

**Up Next:**
She is participating in the Biennale Rabat, through December 18, and will be represented at 1-54 in London through 50 Golborne.

Younès Rahmoun

**Born:** 1975

**What to Know:**
Based in Tetouan, Rahmoun creates meditative, ethereal videos, drawings, sculptures, and photographs that draw on his Islamic faith.

**Most Wanted:**

**Price Range:**
$6,000 to $100,000

**Gallery Affiliation:**
Galerie Imane Farès (Paris)

**Up Next:**
The artist will present a performance at the Smith College Museum of Art on September 19 ahead of a larger solo show there in 2022. In October, he will debut a new installation in the Tuileries Gardens in Paris as part of FIAC’s public art program.

Hassan Hajjaj

**Born:** 1961

**What to Know:**
Hajjaj is perhaps the city’s best-known artist, famous for his vivid photographs of impossibly hip subjects ranging from stylish locals to stars like Madonna and Cardi B.

**Gallery Affiliation:**
The Third Line (Dubai)

**Most Wanted:**

**Price Range:**
$7,000 to $40,000

**Up Next:**
A large-scale retrospective is on view at the Maison Européenne de la Photographie in Paris through November 17.
How Hans Neuendorf changed the art business forever — and against all odds — by bringing it online

by Andrew Goldstein
It’s impossible to understand the evolution of the art business into a global industry over the past three decades without taking into account the role of artnet.

Founded in 1989 as a database of art prices—a groundbreaking innovation in a marketplace long defined by obscurantism and information asymmetry—artnet was the first art site to go online; its news platform, artnet Magazine, became the second-ever online publication (after Slate); its gallery network served as many galleries’ first online presence; and its auction platform led the way for legacy auction houses to enter the digital era.

With artnet’s emphasis on transparency and efficiency, the company did much to create the conditions for the headlong modernization of the art market. And none of this ever would have happened if not for the stubborn, quixotic, and deeply idealistic vision of artnet’s founder, Hans Neuendorf.

Now 82, Neuendorf was an outsized presence in the art world even before artnet. Coming of age in Hamburg amid the wreckage of World War II and entering the art business while still in his teens, he organized the first Pop Art show in Germany, championed important artists (like Georg Baselitz) in the face of widespread skepticism, single-handedly brought Sotheby’s to Frankfurt, and created a highly successful art fund. In starting artnet, Neuendorf overcame an unceasing parade of crises and challenges, keeping the company afloat by selling artworks to fund operations and maintaining a (he might say naïve) conviction that success was always right around the corner.

To mark artnet’s 30th anniversary, and to better grasp the historical context that gave rise to the company, artnet News editor-in-chief Andrew Goldstein sat down with Neuendorf in his corner office atop the Woolworth Building to talk about his life’s work. The following interview has been condensed from a sprawling four-hour conversation; a more extensive version will be published in several installments online.
The Story of artnet

You were born in Hamburg in 1937, at a time when the German war machine was cranking up and the clouds of World War II were gathering. It was before the early days of World War II, and I saw a good deal of it. I saw the broken army walking home from Russia in rows of four. They camped out in the woods near our home. As a boy, I would trade with the soldiers—it was my first attempt at buying and selling something.

How did you become interested in art?

When I was 10, I would visit the bookstore at the train station on my way to school. There were tiny little books—the size of a matchbox—and they had colorful reproductions of artworks on the cover. I thought they were fascinating. For Christmas, I got Knaus’ Lexicon of Modern Art, and it had biographies of all the big artists, like Chagall, Matisse, Picasso. I read that until I knew it by heart, practically.

When did you begin to think about buying your own works of art?

My father had a friend, a Russian cellist. He lent him some money, and he lent me 300 of the 3,000 marks, which was like a huge fortune. That’s how I financed my studies.

Did you think of art as a career path?

I wasn’t thinking of a career—I was just having fun. It was before the early days of World War II, and I saw a good deal of it. I saw the broken army walking home from Russia in rows of four. They camped out in the woods near our home. As a boy, I would trade with the soldiers—it was my first attempt at buying and selling something.

When did you start collecting?

I was 23 or 24 when I started the gallery. I showed it to one of the two art dealers, Pierre Sernet. I took them home and sold them to my dentist. This was in the ‘50s in Germany, when money was first being made—mostly by dentists, doctors, and lawyers, who had the desire for art, which had been forbidden in Germany for a long time. So, I did quite well. The price difference, on retail, between Germany and Paris was like 50 percent. So what I was buying for 100 marks I could sell easily for 200 marks. And that was how I financed my studies.

What do you mean by “black money”?

When you have a business, you can always make a little bit of business on the side and take cash. Then the cash will accumulate, and you say, “Well, what do I do with it?” You can’t buy property, because you have to prove where the cash came from. So you haul it to Switzerland. It was tax evasion. And then to convert it into paintings was a perfect business idea. Beyeler thought so, at least. That was how Art Basel came about.

How did you manage to bring these artworks into Germany?

That was kind of adventurous. A friend of mine had a trucking business and lent me a small truck that he used for deliveries in town. When we got to Paris, we went to Ileana and we rode away with these paintings on a rickety truck. She didn’t blink an eye.

Moving ahead to 1967, you helped found Art Cologne, the first art fair ever, as the Kölnischer Kunstmarkt. What was the impetus behind the fair?

We were all separated in various cities—in Stuttgart and Munich and Cologne—and we said we’d all come together once a year so that collectors could go to one place and see what is available. Altogether it became around 22 galleries, all from Germany.

Two years later, [Ernst] Beyeler thought this would be smart to do in Basel. At the time, Germans were bringing their black money to Basel to deposit it there, so he thought it would be a good idea to sell them some art, because art is liquid, and you can ship it.

When did you begin to think about buying your own works of art?

My father had a friend, a Russian cellist. He lent him some money, and he lent me 300 of the 3,000 marks, which was like a huge fortune. That’s how I financed my studies.

Did you think of art as a career path?

I wasn’t thinking of a career—I was just having fun. It was before the early days of World War II, and I saw a good deal of it. I saw the broken army walking home from Russia in rows of four. They camped out in the woods near our home. As a boy, I would trade with the soldiers—it was my first attempt at buying and selling something.

How did you become interested in art?

When I was 10, I would visit the bookstore at the train station on my way to school. There were tiny little books—the size of a matchbox—and they had colorful reproductions of artworks on the cover. I thought they were fascinating. For Christmas, I got Knaus’ Lexicon of Modern Art, and it had biographies of all the big artists, like Chagall, Matisse, Picasso. I read that until I knew it by heart, practically.

How did you manage to bring these artworks into Germany?

That was kind of adventurous. A friend of mine had a trucking business and lent me a small truck that he used for deliveries in town. When we got to Paris, we went to Ileana and we rode away with these paintings on a rickety truck. She didn’t blink an eye.

Moving ahead to 1967, you helped found Art Cologne, the first art fair ever, as the Kölnischer Kunstmarkt. What was the impetus behind the fair?

We were all separated in various cities—in Stuttgart and Munich and Cologne—and we said we’d all come together once a year so that collectors could go to one place and see what is available. Altogether it became around 22 galleries, all from Germany.

Two years later, [Ernst] Beyeler thought this would be smart to do in Basel. At the time, Germans were bringing their black money to Basel to deposit it there, so he thought it would be a good idea to sell them some art, because art is liquid, and you can ship it.

What do you mean by “black money”?

When you have a business, you can always make a little bit of business on the side and take cash. Then the cash will accumulate, and you say, “Well, what do I do with it?” You can’t buy property, because you have to prove where the cash came from. So you haul it to Switzerland. It was tax evasion. And then to convert it into paintings was a perfect business idea. Beyeler thought so, at least. That was how Art Basel came about.

When you were starting out, how were the prices of artworks designated?

You were saying that you would go to Paris and buy artworks and then sell them in Germany for much higher prices. How did people know what was a fair value?

Pricing information was always the critical point. Nobody knew what anything was worth. So, at the art fairs, people would look around with their little pads, taking notes. Often, they didn’t ask for prices because they wanted to buy something—they asked because they just wanted to know what their collection was worth, or how much they should pay another dealer for a similar piece. Every- one was doing their own pricing research all the time. That’s why I thought it might be good to do a price database.

So one person would have one set of prices, and another person would have their own different set of prices. As a dealer, how would you price the artworks you were selling?

Well, you would talk to other dealers and to clients who had been around to other galleries in the market, and somehow you’d have to figure out a price. Then, when something was sold, you would have a firm price point and could start from there.

Let’s talk about artnet. Somewhere in the late 1980s, you met an unusual collector named Pierre Sernet. How did that happen?

I had a stand at the FIAC art fair in Paris, and there was this guy standing in front of a little table with a computer on it, trying to sell something. It turned out to be a price database.

Pierre was a collector of 19th-century Japanese photography, and he was always angry that he couldn’t learn what anything cost. Traditionally, there were price books that were printed, but obviously they had very few images in there, and without images, it’s useless. So he invented a way to work with electronic inventories where he could pair the prices with images. I asked if I could make an investment. Eventually, you became the largest shareholder in the company. This happened over several years. I was raising money for artnet through the gallery by selling paintings. The company—which at first was called the Centrox Corporation—hadly had any revenue, because technically, it didn’t really work.

Why not?

This was before the internet, so we were making the database available to anyone who was interested through our own proprietary data lines that we had to rent from CompuServe and Infornt. In many cases, the data packages would travel fine through our fiberglass lines but not the last few steps into the house, because that was mostly old copper telephone wires. The data packages got stuck there—they couldn’t pass through because they didn’t have enough room.
What made you believe in the company, despite these significant obstacles?

When I saw the electronic images, I thought, “This is bound to be very important for the art world.” It seemed so clear to me that I still don’t understand why nobody else thought so. A German publication once asked me what the biggest mistake of my life was, and it was to mistake a clear vision for close proximity. Because, you know, I always thought we were almost there—that everybody would understand it immediately—and that wasn’t the case.

If the product didn’t work, how did you get people to pay for it?

Perseverance. Patience. And the internet.

Going to the core of artnet, the price database, how did you originally obtain the pricing data?

We just took it from the auction catalogues. Then Sotheby’s and Christie’s started complaining about it, and they each came over with a delegation of lawyers and their general counsel and said, “No, you can’t do that.” And I said, “Yes, we can do that.” And then they asked their lawyers, and their lawyers told them they didn’t have any copyright. It was public information, so anyone could take it. They were not happy at all.

What impact did the artnet Price Database have on the market in these early days?

The fact is, we put a lot of dealers out of business. There is a building on 57th Street and Madison, the Fuller Building, where there used to be many galleries, and there were traders who would take the elevator up and down and do business between the galleries on the different floors, buying something on one floor and selling it for a profit one floor up. These runners have always existed in the art trade, because dealers don’t talk to other dealers.

A lot of these middlemen went out of business after artnet, because they were using small price differentials to make a living, and all of a sudden that wasn’t so easy anymore. People were upset.

Because people were capitalizing on the inefficiency of the market-place, and you were making it more efficient.

Yes, the market was completely inefficient, and the runners had been doing a good job of improving that a little bit. But we wanted a very different degree of efficiency.

Eventually, you entered a phase of tremendous success. Tell me about how you took the company public.

I was in New York trying to raise money, and a young sales guy working for us said, “I know someone at the Frankfurt Stock Exchange. Can I come by and bring him in?” I thought, “This is not going to come to anything.” But I talked to them anyway.

Two months later, they came back with these two giants—six-foot-five investment bankers—who said that their bank was interested in taking our company public in Germany. We had tried to go public in New York, too, but everybody there wanted big deals, $120 million or something like that, and we were just hoping to get $20 million somehow—completely underestimating what it would cost to build the company. But those kids came through, and the bankers had a financial model, entered all the information, and made a presentation for the stock market.

This was in 1999.

We were the 100th company to go public in that segment of the German stock market, which was called the Neuer Markt. It’s mostly internet companies and things like that. There was a lot of speculation going on.

After you went public at the height of the dot-com bubble, the bubble burst. What was that like?

We had a lot of problems. There was hostility from the start against an art company going public—people thought we shouldn’t be a listed company. When we came out, we had a very high price because eBay and others were getting high prices, and the banks who bought the stock in order to distribute it to their customers flipped it. So a day after we went to the stock market, a packet of 50,000 shares came down the pike. And then the whole price started to unravel. When I had done my road-show, I had talked to all of the investment banks, and they all said, “We’re going to keep this stock and make sure that it gets to the right hands.” Bullshit. They sold it right away.

Fast-forward to 2012, and all of a sudden other businesses, like Artsy, Artspace, and Paddle8, started coming into the field. The online art marketplace suddenly became a hot thing. What did you make of that?

Yes, we had the good fortune of Artsy and other competitors who tried to nibble away at the fringes of our business and take part of it. That certainly hurt our business, at least to a degree, even though ultimately they didn’t succeed with it. But all of a sudden, everybody was talking about the online art business, and that gave us credibility. Ultimately, it was to our advantage that they came along. Had they not arrived,
we would have had to invent them. A big competitor with lots of money gives the whole enterprise credibility.

Today, the art market has exploded. You could argue that artnet Price Database, in making the market more transparent to a global audience, has been instrumental in the extraordinary spread of the marketplace around the world.

I think you could go even further. The sky-high prices we see today—$100 million and more for a painting—would have been impossible without the database. Because when you have a price comparison, you always can muster the courage to go 10 or 15 percent higher. So within 10 years, the price will have doubled or tripled, just because you have comparables. Before that, people were completely in the dark about the value of paintings—there was only party talk, you know. “How much do you think I could get for that?” People also used to set prices based on comparisons to reasonable things, like houses, cars, etcetera. For a while, people thought a good painting should cost as much as a luxury car. When artnet gave them real comparables, all of a sudden art was free to go as high as it wanted to go.

It used to be a boutique market run by connoisseurs and specialists, but with the pricing data, things were able to open up to people in finance who knew next to nothing about art but who knew about numbers and projections.

Exactly. It gave them confidence.

Today, artnet has helped change the art world you knew as a dealer in Hamburg into an industry defined by stratospheric prices. How do you feel about that change?

I think the flow of money into the market is really damaging, because nowadays it’s not the quality of the painting that determines the price, but the price that determines the quality. What decides value now is popularity and the credibility given by a price determined by competition between rich people over trophies. So you have many very expensive paintings that are not very valuable, in my opinion, and you have a lot of artists that are completely underrated because they haven’t gone into this spiral.

Do you think this wouldn’t have happened without artnet and the Price Database?

My way of operating my gallery is gone forever. The art world changed completely. To what degree the database helped or didn’t help, I don’t know. But when it comes to the very high prices, I think that wouldn’t have happened without artnet.

As the art industry has evolved, your company has evolved as well. The company’s auction business has been relaunched, for instance. How do you feel about where artnet is today?

I’m very upbeat about the company. Having a company like artnet doing auctions where you can sell an artwork you bought five weeks ago at reasonable rates and liquidate it, that can make a big difference.

Right now, liquidity is completely missing in the market because the galleries and the big auction houses have built a wall, and ordinary people can’t get through it. That wall will break down. I’m absolutely sure of it. And when that happens, it will attract a lot of buyers, because they will have less risk.

We need to create an efficient marketplace. Once that’s there, different structures will evolve. For instance, I consider art fairs to be very harmful.

The art fairs you helped to invent. Yes. Because galleries are starving because they have to pay these fairs. And even the art fairs aren’t succeeding in being very profitable. You can’t understand art when you cherry-pick all these things and put them in booths.

That is why we need to reestablish the rightful place of the galleries in the market, because they are really providing the communication between the artists and the public. If you give them an online marketplace, they will know where to sell things that they can’t sell within their local community. This way everyone would be operating globally but situated in their local context. I think that might be a healthy way of doing it.

Do you miss the old way the art business used to operate, before artnet?

No, I don’t miss it. It definitely had bad flaws. It was easier to make money. But the tastemaking ability and authority has been taken away from the critics and the dealers, and it’s now the public at large that determines what’s good, what should be expensive. And that’s a wholly new situation. It’s as if you introduced democracy. This used to be an elitist enterprise, and now it’s a democratic enterprise.

Is that good?

I don’t think so. A lot of people like art and want to be involved in art, but art is elitist. Art requires education and knowledge.

Do you have conflicted feelings about what you have achieved with artnet?

Mainly because I don’t know where it’s going, I don’t know the future. Remarkably, it seems as if the efficient art world you hope to bring about is tantalizingly close—that only a few obstacles need to be overcome, like that final stretch of copper wire that prevented artnet’s data from reaching its subscribers in its early days.

How far off do you think the future you envision is today?

I think it’s around the corner. [Laughs.] Well, that’s the fun, you know. We are in the middle of it.
For the first time in several years, the number of artworks sold at auction is on the rise (up 12.2 percent from the equivalent period last year), while the cumulative value of total sales is falling (down 15.7 percent from the first half of 2018). What does this mean? The average work of art has become less expensive. That dip is due largely to a contraction at the top end of the market, where sales of trophy works valued at $10 million and up have dropped off, causing the average price to shrink.

The situation isn’t dire yet: Total auction sales are still above where they were in the first six months of 2016 and 2017. Looking ahead, the market’s health will likely depend on the fate of the global economy. Some forecasters are predicting major turbulence in Germany, China, and the United States, while others—including Benjamin Mandel, a global strategist at J.P. Morgan Asset Management—don’t anticipate that things will get much worse. “You’d expect payback from the fast growth of the past two years,” Mandel says. “We expect things to stabilize from here.”

Which political crisis has hurt the art market more, Brexit or the U.S.-China trade war? The answer appears to be Brexit. The U.K. saw its auction sales plummet 24 percent in the first six months of 2019, while China experienced only a 10.5 percent dip. China also generated $5.5 million more at auction over this period than the U.K. “If there is a trade-war effect, it’s not totally obvious,” Mandel says.

Meanwhile, total auction sales in the United States fell 18 percent from the equivalent period last year, but the country still maintains pole position in the global market—and that is unlikely to change anytime soon. “If you have a $100 million work, you will sell it in New York,” says Jeff Rabin, the cofounder of Artvest. In the future, he says, “I expect the U.S. to extend its dominance over China and the U.K.”

“Collector-investors who don’t want to pay income tax are sitting on works right now”
Even though North America experienced a 14.5 percent drop in total sales, it remains by far the world’s largest market, with $3.5 billion worth of fine and decorative art sold at auction in the first six months of the year. Works on offer there were also considerably more likely to find buyers. The continent’s sell-through rate was 74 percent, compared with 61 percent in Europe and 66 percent in Asia.

The Impressionist and Modern market shrunk by a quarter in the first half of the year, the largest contraction suffered by any category. Why? Because it’s the sector most affected by the drop in ultra-pricey lots. The average price of an Impressionist and Modern work sold at auction in the first half of 2019 plummeted 35 percent from the equivalent period last year. (It now stands at $52,107.) By contrast, the average price for a postwar and contemporary work was more stable, dropping just 12 percent, to $48,051, while the average price for an Old Master dipped 17 percent, to $43,274.

But don’t worry too much about the upper reaches of the Imp-Mod market: the $10 million-and-up segment still exceeded the $1 billion mark, keeping it above 2016 and 2017 levels.

The data here offers good news for those selling affordable art—and troubling news for everyone else. Every segment of the market contracted in the first half of this year except for the $10,000-and-under bracket, which grew 10.5 percent over the equivalent period in 2018. The most notable drop came at the top: sales of work priced over $10 million tumbled by 35 percent. Experts attribute this turnabout to a prevailing feeling of caution in a moment of economic uncertainty. Plus, since big-ticket works are increasingly going to their guarantors with little competition, consignors have become more inclined to sell privately rather than in a public auction, according to Suzanne Gyorgy, Citi Private Bank’s global head of art advisory and finance.

Another factor is the elimination of 1031 exchanges under the new U.S. tax code. The handy loophole, which the government closed this year, had previously allowed investors to avoid capital-gains tax by using the proceeds from the sale of an artwork or works to purchase another similar work within a short period of time.

“Collector-investors who don’t want to pay income tax are sitting on works right now,” waiting for an alternative to 1031 exchanges to surface, says Michael Plummer, the cofounder of Artvest. “That may affect the quality of consignments in the evening sales.”

Buyers are equally hungry for work by American and Chinese artists. The U.S. and China are tied for the largest share of the 100 best-selling artists at auction in the first half of 2019. Artists from the two countries represent 23 percent of the list each. On the Chinese side, Zhang Daqian, one of China’s most important ink painters, and Wu Guanzhong, regarded as the founder of Chinese Modernism, both cracked the top 10. Among American artists, Jeff Koons and Robert Rauschenberg—whose work set artist records this past spring—both made strong totals, as did Andy Warhol. French artists came in third place, with a share of 20 percent.
### Who Are the Most Bankable Artists?

See the 10 best-selling artists in each genre for the first half of 2019.

#### European Old Masters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artist</th>
<th>Life</th>
<th>Lots Sold</th>
<th>Lots Offered</th>
<th>Sell-Through Rate</th>
<th>Total Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan van Hemessen</td>
<td>1500–1566</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$10,526,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elisabeth Louise Vigée Le Brun</td>
<td>1755–1842</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$8,702,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Paul Rubens</td>
<td>1577–1640</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>$8,268,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan van der Hamen y León</td>
<td>1596–1632</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$6,517,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annibale Carracci</td>
<td>1560–1609</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$6,281,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joachim Anthonisz Wtewael</td>
<td>1566–1638</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$5,944,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan van de Cappelle</td>
<td>1624–1679</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$4,815,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rembrandt van Rijn</td>
<td>1606–1669</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>$4,748,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guido Reni</td>
<td>1575–1642</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$3,785,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivan Konstantinovich Aivazovsky</td>
<td>1817–1900</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$3,759,504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Impressionist & Modern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artist</th>
<th>Life</th>
<th>Lots Sold</th>
<th>Lots Offered</th>
<th>Sell-Through Rate</th>
<th>Total Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Picasso</td>
<td>1881–1973</td>
<td>1702</td>
<td>2046</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>$255,587,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claude Monet</td>
<td>1840–1926</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>$291,268,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Cézanne</td>
<td>1839–1906</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>$98,450,439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis Bacon</td>
<td>1909–1992</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>$93,637,689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amedeo Modigliani</td>
<td>1884–1920</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>$85,017,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Rothko</td>
<td>1903–1970</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>$79,980,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>René Magritte</td>
<td>1898–1967</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>$76,201,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marc Chagall</td>
<td>1887–1985</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>$54,815,967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierre-Auguste Renoir</td>
<td>1841–1919</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>$54,219,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Calder</td>
<td>1898–1976</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>$49,152,877</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Postwar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artist</th>
<th>Life</th>
<th>Lots Sold</th>
<th>Lots Offered</th>
<th>Sell-Through Rate</th>
<th>Total Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andy Warhol</td>
<td>1926–1987</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>$150,303,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zao Wou-Ki</td>
<td>1920–2013</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>$144,982,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Rauschenberg</td>
<td>1925–2008</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>$90,955,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Hockney</td>
<td>b. 1937</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>$89,101,369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy Lichtenstein</td>
<td>1923–1997</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>$84,819,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerhard Richter</td>
<td>b. 1932</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>$63,526,989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yayoi Kusama</td>
<td>b. 1929</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>$54,357,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Stella</td>
<td>b. 1936</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>$50,878,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Bourgeois</td>
<td>1911–2010</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>$44,743,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Ruscha</td>
<td>b. 1937</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>$28,519,085</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Contemporary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artist</th>
<th>Born</th>
<th>Lots Sold</th>
<th>Lots Offered</th>
<th>Sell-Through Rate</th>
<th>Total Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Koons</td>
<td>b. 1955</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>$103,837,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAWS</td>
<td>b. 1974</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>$70,559,789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean-Michel Basquiat</td>
<td>1960–1988</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>$65,645,338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Wool</td>
<td>b. 1955</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>$34,913,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshitomo Nara</td>
<td>b. 1959</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>$24,320,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Haring</td>
<td>1968–1990</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>$21,926,605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Condo</td>
<td>b. 1957</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>$21,595,985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Oehlen</td>
<td>b. 1954</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>$19,154,397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecily Brown</td>
<td>b. 1969</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>$15,864,397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenny Saville</td>
<td>b. 1970</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$15,576,862</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ultra-Contemporary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artist</th>
<th>Born</th>
<th>Lots Sold</th>
<th>Lots Offered</th>
<th>Sell-Through Rate</th>
<th>Total Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jonas Wood</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>$14,941,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrian Ghenie</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>$13,178,733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dana Schutz</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>$6,792,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harold Ancart</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>$3,104,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hao Liang</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>$2,507,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jia Aili</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>$2,409,922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rashid Johnson</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$2,209,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynette Yiadom-Boakye</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$1,852,307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ayako Rokkakuro</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>$1,663,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscar Murillo</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>$1,587,365</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This report reflects auction results from 471 auction houses worldwide from January 1 to June 30, 2019. To consider how this year’s results stacked up to previous years, we compared them with the same numbers from the equivalent period from 2013 through 2018.

artnet’s Fine Art and Design Database includes fine art objects such as paintings, photographs, prints, and sculpture by artists ranging from Old Masters to contemporary living artists. The Decorative Art Database contains antiques, antiquities, and collectibles. Both databases only include items with a low estimate of $500 and above.

Every single lot included in the artnet Price Database is verified against auction catalogues or directly with the auction houses and then categorized by a team of multilingual art history specialists to ensure the highest level of accuracy and allow for detailed data analysis. We only include Chinese auction houses that have been vetted by the China Association of Auctioneers, a national association in China that is seeking to standardize the auction industry. This report reflects the numbers in artnet’s Price Database as of July 16, 2019.

All sales prices are adjusted to include the buyer’s premium. Price data from previous years has not been adjusted for inflation. All results are logged in the currency native to the auction house where the sale took place, then converted to U.S. dollars based on the exchange rate on the day of the sale.

We defined artistic categories as follows: “European Old Masters” covers European artists born from 1250 to 1820; “Old Masters” covers artists born from 1250 to 1820 from any country; “Impressionist & Modern” concerns artists born in any country except China between 1821 and 1910; “postwar” concerns artists born in any country except China between 1911 and 1944; “contemporary” covers artists born in any country from 1945 to 1974; and “ultra-contemporary” covers artists born after 1974. To avoid anomalies, all genre breakdowns in the “Data Dive” section exclude China.

Notes on geographic terms: Oceania covers auction houses located in Australia and New Zealand. North America covers auction houses in the U.S., Canada, and Mexico. China includes results from both the mainland and Hong Kong.